arXiv:1610.02782v1 [math.AG] 10 Oct 2016

SPECIALIZATION MAP BETWEEN STRATIFIED BUNDLES AND PRO-ÉTALE FUNDAMENTAL GROUP

ELENA LAVANDA

Abstract. Given a projective family of semi-stable curves over a complete discrete valuation ring of characteristic $p > 0$ with algebraically closed residue field, we construct a specialization functor between the category of continuous representations of the pro-étale fundamental group of the closed fibre and the category of stratified bundles on the geometric generic fibre. By Tannakian duality, this functor induces a morphism between the corresponding affine group schemes. We show that this morphism is a lifting of the specialization map, constructed by Grothendieck, between the étale fundamental groups.

Introduction

In [Mum72], given a complete discrete valuation ring A of characteristic $p > 0$ with fraction field K and residue field k, Mumford associated with a flat Schottky group $G \subset \mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$ a stable curve X over A with k-split degenerate closed fibre X_0 and non-singular generic fibre X_K, such that G is the group of covering transformations of the universal cover Y_0 of X_0. Moreover, he proved that every such curve X can be constructed in this way for a unique flat Schottky group G and that, if X has arithmetic genus g, G is a free group with g generators.

This setting was later used by Gieseker in [Gie73] to prove that, for any prime $p > 0$ and every integer $g > 1$, there exists a stable curve of arithmetic genus g in characteristic p that admits a semi-stable bundle of rank two whose Frobenius pull-back is not semi-stable. Given a stable curve X over A with k-split degenerate closed fibre and non-singular generic fibre, he introduced the notion of coherent sheaves with meromorphic descent data on the universal cover of the completion $\widehat{X}$ of X along its closed fibre and he proved that the category they form is equivalent to the category of coherent sheaves on the generic fibre X_K. Then he associated with each K-linear representation of the group G, constructed by Mumford, a sheaf with meromorphic descent data, and hence, via the equivalence of categories, a bundle on the generic fibre. Furthermore, repeating the argument for all Frobenius twists of X, he associated with each representation of G a stratified bundle on the geometric generic fibre. Finally, by cleverly choosing the representation, he was able to construct a semi-stable bundle with the required properties.

In this article we generalize Gieseker's construction of stratified bundles from representations by removing the assumption on the degeneracy of the closed fibre.

In the first section, we present an explicit computation of the pro-étale fundamental group of a projective normal crossing curve defined over an algebraically closed field.

Theorem. (See Theorem. 1.17) *Given X a connected projective normal crossing curve defined over an algebraically closed field and ξ a geometric point of X, let,*

for $i = 1, \dots, N$, C_j be the irreducible components of X, $\overline{C_j}$ their normalization and ξ_j a fixed geometric point for every $\overline{C_j}$, then

$$\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X, \xi) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\star |I|-N+1} \star_N \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_1}, \xi_1) \star_N \cdots \star_N \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_N}, \xi_N),$$

where I is the set of singular points of X, $\mathbb{Z}^{\star |I|-N+1}$ is the free product of $|I|-N+1$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\star_N$ is the coproduct of Noohi groups.

In particular, if X_0 is a degenerate stable curve over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$, we see that its pro-étale fundamental group is isomorphic to the Schottky group defined by Mumford and hence we have a more geometrical interpretation of the latter.

In the second section, given a topological group G, we illustrate the properties of its algebraic hull G^{cts}, which is defined as the affine group scheme associated with the Tannakian category of continuous represantions of G. In particular, we give an explicit description of the algebraic hull of a pro-finite group.

In the third section, given A a complete discrete valuation ring of equicharacteristic p with algebraically closed residue field, we set X to be a projective semi-stable curve over $\text{Spec}(A)$ with connected closed fibre X_0 and geometrically connected smooth generic fibre X_K. We associate with a K-linear continuous representation ρ of the pro-étale fundamental group of the closed fibre a geometric covering Y_ρ of the completion $\widehat{X}$ of X along its closed fibre. Moreover, we construct meromorphic descent data for the trivial vector bundle $\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}$ and we show that these data descends to a coherent sheaf on X_K.

In the fourth section, we extend this result to stratified bundles and this leads us to the definition of a specialization functor.

Theorem. (See Theorem 4.13) *Let $\overline{K}$ be a fixed algebraic closure of the fraction field K of A and X be a projective semi-stable curve over* $\text{Spec}(A)$ *with connected closed fibre X_0 and geometrically connected smooth generic fibre X_K, then the descent of stratified bundles with meromorphic descent data induces a tensor functor*

$$sp_{\overline{K}} \colon \text{Rep}^{\text{cts}}_{\overline{K}}(\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0, \xi)) \to \text{Strat}(X_{\overline{K}}),$$

which, by Tannakian duality, corresponds to a morphism of group schemes over $\overline{K}$

$$sp \colon \pi^{\text{strat}}(X_{\overline{K}}) \to (\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0, \xi))^{\text{cts}}.$$

We conclude by showing that this morphism of group schemes is a lifting of the specialization map between the étale fundamental groups of $X_{\overline{K}}$ and X_0 constructed by Grothendieck in [SGA1].

Acknowledgments. The results contained in this article are part of my PhD thesis, written under the supervision of Hélène Esnault. I would like to thank her for guiding me through my graduate studies, for her support and for the many enlightening discussions we had.

1. Pro-étale fundamental group of semi-stable curves

In [BS15] the authors introduced the notion of tame infinite Galois categories and proved that every such category is equivalent to the category of sets with a continuous action of a Noohi group. This notion generalizes the concept of Galois categories, introduced by Grothendieck in [SGA1] and it is used to construct the

pro-étale fundamental group of a scheme. Before defining this group, we recall the definition and basic properties of Noohi groups.

Definition 1.1. Let G be a topological group and $F_G\colon G\text{-Sets} \to \text{Sets}$ be the forgetful functor, we say that G is a *Noohi group* if the natural map $G \to \text{Aut}(F_G)$ is an isomorphism of topological groups, where $\text{Aut}(F_G)$ is topologized by the compact-open topology on $\text{Aut}(S)$ for all $S \in \text{Sets}$.

Definition 1.2. Given G a topological group, we define the *Raîkov completion of* G, which is denoted by $\widehat{G}^R$, as its completion with respect to its two-sided uniformity (see [AT08]). We say that a topological group G is *Raîkov complete* if the natural morphism $\sigma\colon G \to \widehat{G}^R$, constructed in [AT08, Thm. 3.6.10], is an isomorphism.

Proposition 1.3 ([BS15], Prop. 7.1.5)**.** *Let G be a topological group with a basis of open neighborhoods of $1 \in G$ given by open subgroups and $F_G\colon G\text{-Sets} \to \text{Sets}$ the forgetful functor, then $\text{Aut}(F_G)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\widehat{G}^R$. Hence, G is a Noohi group if and only if it is Raîkov complete.*

The pro-étale fundamental group of a scheme X, in analogy with the étale fundamental group, is defined as the Noohi group associated with the category of geometric coverings of X.

Definition 1.4. Given X a locally topologically Noetherian connected scheme, we call *geometric covering of* X any étale X-scheme Y such the structure map $Y \to X$ satisfies the valuative criterion of properness. We denote by Cov_X the category of geometric coverings, where the maps are given by X-morphisms.

Theorem 1.5 ([BS15], Lemma 7.4.1)**.** *Let X be a locally topologically Noetherian connected scheme, ξ a geometric point of X and set ev_ξ to be the following functor*

$$\text{ev}_\xi\colon \text{Cov}_X \to \text{Sets},\ \text{ev}_\xi(\pi\colon Y \to X) = \pi^{-1}(\xi),$$

then the group $\text{Aut}(\text{ev}_\xi)$, endowed with the compact-open topology, is a Noohi group. Moreover, the functor ev_ξ induces an equivalence of categories

$$\text{ev}_\xi\colon \text{Cov}_X \simeq \text{Aut}(\text{ev}_\xi)\text{-Sets}.$$

Definition 1.6. Given X a locally topologically Noetherian connected scheme and ξ a geometric point of X, we define the *pro-étale fundamental group* of X, as in [BS15, Def. 7.4.2], to be the group

$$\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X,\xi) := \text{Aut}(\text{ev}_\xi).$$

From the pro-étale fundamental group, we can retrieve both the enlarged fundamental defined in [SGA3] and the étale fundamental group.

Proposition 1.7 ([BS15], Lemma 7.4.3 and Lemma 7.4.6)**.** *Let X be a locally topologically Noetherian connected scheme and ξ a geometric point of X, then*

- *the pro-discrete completion of $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X,\xi)$ is isomorphic to the enlarged fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{SGA3}}(X,\xi)$,*
- *the pro-finite completion of $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X,\xi)$ is isomorphic to the étale fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X,\xi)$.*

Proposition 1.8 ([BS15], Lemma 7.4.10)**.** *If X is geometrically unibranch, then*

$$\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X,\xi) \simeq \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X,\xi).$$

Before computing the pro-étale fundamental group of normal crossing curve, we state some basic definitions.

Definition 1.9. Let C be a scheme of dimension 1 of finite type over an algebraically closed field $\overline{F}$, then C is a *semi-stable curve* if it is reduced and its singular points are ordinary double points. If F is any field and $\overline{F}$ is a fixed algebraic closure of F, then a curve C over F is called *semi-stable* if $C_{\overline{F}} = C \times_F \mathrm{Spec}(\overline{F})$ is a semi-stable curve over $\overline{F}$.

Definition 1.10. Let C be a scheme of dimension 1 of finite type over an algebraically closed field $\overline{F}$, then we say that C is a *normal crossing curve* if its associated reduced scheme C_{red} is a semi-stable curve. If F is any field and $\overline{F}$ is a fixed algebraic closure of F, then a curve C over F is called *normal crossing* if its base change $C_{\overline{F}}$ is a normal crossing curve over $\overline{F}$.

Definition 1.11. Given a scheme S, a *semi-stable curve over S* is a flat scheme X over S, whose fibres are semi-stable curves.

The main idea behind the computation of the pro-étale fundamental group of such curves is to generalize [SGA1, Exp. IX Cor. 5.4] in terms of the pro-étale fundamental group. Hence, we need a notion of coproduct inside the category of Noohi groups.

Definition 1.12. Given two Noohi groups G and H, we set $\mathcal{C}_{G,H}$ to be the category of triples (S, ρ_G, ρ_H) where S is a set and ρ_G, ρ_H are continuous actions on S of G and H respectively. Let $\mathrm{forg}\colon \mathcal{C}_{G,H} \to \mathrm{Sets}$ be the forgetful functor, then, following [BS15, Ex. 7.2.6], we define the *coproduct of G and H in the category of Noohi groups* to be the Noohi group $G \star_N H := \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{forg})$.

We give now an alternative description of the coproduct in the category of Noohi groups. In what follows, given two topological groups G and H, we denote by $G \star H$ their free topological product constructed in [Gra48].

Lemma 1.13. *For two Noohi groups G and H with a basis of open neighborhoods of 1 given by open subgroups, we set $\mathcal{B}$ to be the collection of open subsets of $G \star H$ of the form*

$$x_1 \Gamma_1 y_1 \cap \cdots \cap x_n \Gamma_n y_n,$$

with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_i, y_i \in G \star H$ and $\Gamma_i \subseteq G \star H$ open subgroups of $G \star H$. If we restrict the topology on $G \star H$ to the topology induced by $\mathcal{B}$, we obtain a topological group $G \star_{\mathcal{B}} H$ with a basis of open neighborhoods of $1 \in G \star H$ given by open subgroups.

Proof. Given $x, y \in G \star H$ and $\Gamma \subset G \star H$ an open subgroup, $(z_1, z_2) \in m^{-1}(x\Gamma y)$ implies that

$$y z_2^{-1} z_1^{-1} x = (x^{-1} z_1 z_2 y^{-1})^{-1} \in \Gamma.$$

Hence, the multiplication is continuous because we have, for every x, y and Γ,

$$(z_1, z_2) \in x\Gamma y z_2^{-1} \times z_1^{-1} x \Gamma y \subset m^{-1}(x\Gamma y).$$

Let i be the inverse morphism, then $y^{-1}\Gamma x^{-1} \subset i^{-1}(x\Gamma y)$, for every x, y and every Γ, thus $G \star_{\mathcal{B}} H$ is a topological group.

To conclude, it suffices to show that every set $x\Gamma y \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $1 \in x\Gamma y$ contains an open subgroup of $G \star_{\mathcal{B}} H$. The condition $1 \in x\Gamma y$ implies that $x^{-1}y^{-1} \in \Gamma$. The

set $y^{-1}\Gamma y$ is, by definition, an open subgroup of $G \star_{\mathcal{B}} H$. Moreover, we see that $y^{-1}\Gamma y \subset x\Gamma y$ because, given $\delta \in y^{-1}\Gamma y$, we have, for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$\delta = y^{-1}\gamma y = x(x^{-1}y^{-1})\gamma y \in x\Gamma y.$$

□

Corollary 1.14. *Let G and H be two Noohi groups with a basis of open neighborhoods of 1 given by open subgroups, then the coproduct in the category of Noohi groups $G \star_N H$ is isomorphic to the Raîkov completion of the topological group $G \star_{\mathcal{B}} H$, defined above.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.13, $G \star_{\mathcal{B}} H$ has a basis of open neighbourhoods of 1 given by open subgroups. Hence, by Proposition 1.3, it suffices to prove that the categories $G \star_N H$-Sets and $G \star_{\mathcal{B}} H$-Sets are equivalent.

By the universal property of the free topological product, $G \star_N H$ - Sets and $G \star H$-Sets are equivalent categories. Furthermore, the identity induces a continuous morphism $G \star H \to G \star_{\mathcal{B}} H$, which corresponds to a fully faithful functor $G \star_{\mathcal{B}} H$-Sets $\to G \star H$-Sets. Let ρ be a continuous action of $G \star H$ on a set S, then the map $\rho\colon G \star H \to \mathrm{Aut}(S)$ is continuous with respect to the compact-open topology on $\mathrm{Aut}(S)$. Since a basis of open neighborhoods of $1 \in \mathrm{Aut}(S)$ is given by stabilizers of finite subsets of S, the inverse image via ρ of any open neighborhood of $1 \in \mathrm{Aut}(S)$ contains an open subgroup of $G \star H$. By construction, this implies that the map ρ is continuous also with respect to the topology of $G \star_{\mathcal{B}} H$, hence the functor induced by the identity is an equivalence of category. □

Note that, by the universal property, the free topological product of two discrete groups is their abstract free product endowed with the discrete topology and it coincides with the coproduct in the category of Noohi groups.

We proceed now with the computation of the pro-étale fundamental group of a normal crossing curve.

Lemma 1.15. *Let X be a locally Noetherian connected scheme, X_{red} its associated reduced subscheme and ξ a geometric point of X, then*

$$\pi_1^{\text{pro\'et}}(X_{red}, \xi) \simeq \pi_1^{\text{pro\'et}}(X, \xi).$$

Proof. By [SGA1, Exp. I, Thm. 8.3] the category of schemes that are étale over X is equivalent to the category of schemes that are étale over X_{red}. Thus, it suffices to prove that an étale scheme Y over X satisfies the valuative criterion of properness if and only if $Y \times_X X_{\mathrm{red}} = Y_{\mathrm{red}}$ does.

Let R be any discrete valuation with fraction field F, then any morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(F) \to Y$ factors through Y_{red} and similarly any morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(R) \to X$ factors through X_{red}. Hence, it is clear that, for any diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec}(F) & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec}(R) & \longrightarrow & X, \end{array}$$

there exists a unique map $\mathrm{Spec}(A) \to Y$ that makes the diagram commutative if and only if there exist a unique map $\mathrm{Spec}(A) \to Y_{\mathrm{red}}$ that makes the diagram between the associated reduced schemes commutative. □

Proposition 1.16. *Let $g\colon X' \to X$ be a proper surjective morphism of finite presentation, then g is a morphism of effective descent for geometric coverings.*

Proof. By [Ryd10, Thm. 5.19] and [Ryd10, Thm. 5.4], g is a morphism of effective descent for étale separated schemes. Since geometric coverings are étale and satisfy the valuative criterion of properness, they are, in particular, separated étale morphisms. Let Y' be a geometric covering of X' with descent data relative to g, then Y' descends to a separated étale X-scheme Y. Moreover, since g is proper, Y' satisfies the valuative criterion of properness if and only if Y does. Hence, g is a morphism of effective descent for geometric coverings. □

Proposition 1.17. *Let X be a projective connected normal crossing curve over an algebraically closed field F and ξ a geometric point of X. For $i = 1, \dots N$, let C_j be the irreducible components of X, $\overline{C_j}$ their normalizations and ξ_j a fixed geometric point for every $\overline{C_j}$, then*

$$\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X, \xi) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\star |I|-N+1} \star_N \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_1}, \xi_1) \star_N \cdots \star_N \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_N}, \xi_N),$$

where I is the set of singular points of X and $\mathbb{Z}^{\star |I|-N+1}$ is the free product of $|I| - N + 1$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. By Lemma 1.15, we can assume that X is a projective connected semi-stable curve. Hence, by Proposition 1.16, the normalization is a morphism of effective descent for geometric coverings. We prove the statement by induction on N, the number of irreducible components of X.

If X is irreducible, the normalization $\overline{X}$ is connected. In this simple setting the descent data can be described explicitly. We denote by (a_i, b_i) the pair of points of $\overline{X}$ that are identified to $x_i \in I$ in X and we set F_{a_i} and F_{b_i} to be the functors associating to each geometric covering its fibers over a_i and b_i respectively. Giving descent data for a geometric covering Y with respect to g is equivalent to giving a collection of bijections $\{\alpha_i\colon F_{a_i}(Y) \to F_{b_i}(Y)\}_{x_i \in I}$.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the category whose objects are given by the datum $(Y, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ with Y a geometric covering of $\overline{X}$ and $\alpha_i\colon F_{a_i}(Y) \to F_{b_i}(Y)$ isomorphisms of sets, and whose morphisms from (Y, α_i) to (Z, β_i) are given by $\overline{X}$-scheme morphisms $\varphi\colon Y \to Z$ such that, for every $i \in I$, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_{a_i}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} & F_{b_i}(Y) \\ {\scriptstyle F_{a_i}(\varphi)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_{b_i}(\varphi)} \\ F_{a_i}(Z) & \xrightarrow{\beta_i} & F_{b_i}(Z)\ . \end{array}$$

By construction, the category $\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to the category of geometric coverings of X. We claim that $(\mathcal{C}, F_{\xi_1})$ is equivalent to the category $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}^{\star r}, \pi_1^{\text{proét}}(\overline{X}, \xi_1)}, \text{forg})$, defined as in Definition 1.12. If the claim is true, then it follows that

$$\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X, \xi) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star_N \pi_1^{\text{proét}}(\overline{X}, \xi_1).$$

By definition, $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(\overline{X}, \xi_1) = \text{Aut}(F_{\xi_1})$ acts on $F_{\xi_1}(Y)$ for every $(Y, \alpha_i) \in \mathcal{C}$. Since $\overline{X}$ is connected, we can choose, for every i, a path τ_i from a_i to b_i and a path σ_i from ξ_1 to a_i and we notice that $\alpha_i \in \text{Hom}(F_{a_i}(Y), F_{b_i}(Y))$ can be written as

$$\alpha_i = \tau_i \circ g_i \text{ for some } g_i \in \text{Aut}(F_{a_i}(Y)).$$

Hence, we can define the action ρ_i of i-th copy of $\mathbb{Z}$ on $F_{\xi_1}(Y)$ as

$$\rho_i(1) = \sigma_i \circ g_i \circ \sigma_i^{-1},$$

which induces a functor

$$\widetilde{F}_{\xi_1}(Y)\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}^{\star r}, \pi_1^{\text{proét}}(\overline{X}, \xi_1)}.$$

Given an object $(S, \rho_{1,\dots,r}, \rho_{\xi_1}) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}^{\star r}, \pi_1^{\text{proét}}(\overline{X}, \xi_1)}$, there exists a geometric covering Y of $\overline{X}$ such that $F_{\xi_1}(Y) \simeq (S, \rho_{\xi_1})$. Thus, we can define the following functor:

$$G_{\xi_1}(S, \rho_i, \rho_{\xi_1}) = (Y, \tau_i \circ \sigma_i^{-1} \circ \rho_i(1) \circ \sigma_i),$$

which clearly is a quasi-inverse functor of $\widetilde{F}_{\xi_1}$.

Let us prove now the inductive step. We fix C_1 an irreducible component of X such that the geometric point ξ does not lie in C_1 and such that $X \setminus C_1$ is connected. We denote by I_1 the set of pairs (a_i^1, b_i^1) of points of $\overline{C_1}$ identified to a singular point x_i^1 of C_1, then by the base case we conclude that

$$\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(C_1, \xi_1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\star |I_1|} \star_N \pi_1^{\text{proét}}(\overline{C_1}, \xi_1).$$

We denote by $\overline{X}_{N-1}$ be the complement of $\overline{C_1}$ in $\overline{X}$, by I_{N-1} the set of pairs (a_i^{N-1}, b_i^{N-1}) of points of $\overline{X}_{N-1}$ identified to a singular point x_i^{N-1} of X and we set X_{N-1} to be the curve obtained from $\overline{X}_{N-1}$ identifying the pairs in I_{N-1}. By construction, X_{N-1} is a projective connected semi-stable curve with $N-1$ irreducible components and, by the inductive hypothesis,

$$\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_{N-1}, \xi) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\star |I_{N-1}| - N + 2} \star_N \pi_1^{\text{proét}}(\overline{C_2}, \xi_2) \star_N \cdots \star_N \pi_1^{\text{proét}}(\overline{C_N}, \xi_N).$$

Finally, we denote by $I_{1,N-1}$ the set of pairs (a_i^1, b_i^{N-1}), with a_i^1 a point of $\overline{C_1}$ and b_i^{N-1} a point of $\overline{X_{N-1}}$, that are identified in the remaining singular points of X. We fix a pair $(a_0^1, b_0^{N-1}) \in I_{1,N-1}$ and we set X' to be the curve obtained from gluing C_1 and X_{N-1} along the pair $(a_0^1, b_0^{N-1}) \in I_{1,N-1}$.

We define $\mathcal{C}_0$ to be the category whose objects are triples (Y_1, Y_{N-1}, α_0) with Y_1 a finite étale cover of C_1, Y_{N-1} a finite étale cover of X_{N-1}, and α_0 an isomorphism of sets $F_{a_0^1}(Y_1) \to F_{b_0^{N-1}}(Y_{N-1})$, and whose morphisms from (Y_1, Y_{N-1}, α_0) to (Z_1, Z_{N-1}, β_0) are given by pairs $(\varphi_1, \varphi_{N-1})$ with $\varphi_1\colon Y_1 \to Z_1$ a morphism of C_1-schemes and $\varphi_{N-1}\colon Y_{N_1} \to Z_{N-1}$ a morphisms X_{N-1}-schemes such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_{a_0^1}(Y_1) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} & F_{b_0^{N-1}}(Y_{N-1}) \\ {\scriptstyle F_{a_0}(\varphi_1)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_{b_0}(\varphi_{N-1})} \\ F_{a_0^1}(Z_1) & \xrightarrow{\beta_0} & F_{b_0^{N-1}}(Z_{N-1})\ . \end{array}$$

Clearly $\mathcal{C}_0$ is equivalent to the category of geometric coverings of X' and we claim that the categories $\mathcal{C}_0$ and $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(C_1, \xi_1) \star_N \pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_{N-1}, \xi)$-Sets are equivalent.

The group $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(C_1, \xi_1) = \text{Aut}(F_{\xi_1})$ acts naturally on $F_{\xi_1}(Y_1)$. Furthermore, the schemes C_1 and X_{N-1} are connected, so we can choose the paths

$$\sigma_1\colon F_{a_0^1} \to F_{\xi_1} \text{ and } \sigma_{N-1}\colon F_{b_0^{N-1}} \to F_\xi.$$

We call ρ the action of $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_{N-1}, \xi) \simeq \text{Aut}(F_\xi)$ on $F_\xi(Y_{N-1})$ and we define, for every $g \in \text{Aut}(F_\xi)$,

$$\tau(g) = (\sigma_{N-1} \circ \alpha_0 \circ \sigma_1^{-1})^{-1} \circ \rho(g) \circ (\sigma_{N-1} \circ \alpha_0 \circ \sigma_1^{-1}).$$

Then τ is an action of $\mathrm{Aut}(F_\xi)$ on $F_{\xi_1}(Y_1)$ and it induces a functor

$$\widetilde{F}_{\xi_1}\colon \mathcal{C}_0 \to \pi_1^{\text{proét}}(C_1,\xi_1)\star_N \pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_{N-1},\xi)\text{-Sets}.$$

Given $(S,\rho_1,\rho_{N-1}) \in \pi_1^{\text{proét}}(C_1,\xi_1)\star\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_{N-1},\xi)$-Sets, there exists a geometric covering Y_1 of C_1 such that $F_{\xi_1}(Y_1)\simeq(S,\rho_1)$ and a geometric covering Y_{N-1} of X_{N-1} such that $F_\xi(Y_{N-1})\simeq(S,\rho_{N-1})$. Thus, we can define the functor

$$G_{\xi_1}(S,\rho_1,\rho_{N-1}) = (Y_1, Y_{N-1}, \sigma_{N-1}^{-1}\circ \mathrm{Id}_S\circ\sigma_1),$$

which is a quasi-inverse of $\widetilde{F}_{\xi_1}$.

Finally, we observe that a geometric covering of X corresponds to the datum of a geometric covering Y of X' and the isomorphisms $\alpha_i\colon F_{a_i^1}(Y)\to F_{b_i^{N-1}}(Y)$ for every remaining pair of points $\{a_i^1,b_i^{N-1}\}\in I_{1,N-1}$. By the same argument of the base step,

$$\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X,\xi) = \mathbb{Z}^{\star|I_{1,N-1}|-1}\star_N \pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X',\xi).$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X,\xi) = \mathbb{Z}^{\star|I|-N+1}\star_N \pi_1^{\text{proét}}(\overline{C_1},\xi_1)\star_N\cdots\star_N\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(\overline{C_N},\xi_N).$$

The statement follows because, since $\overline{C_j}$ are normal, by Proposition 1.8

$$\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(\overline{C_j},\xi_j)\simeq\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_j},\xi_j).$$

□

2. Algebraic hulls

Definition 2.1. Given a field F and a topological group G, a *continuous F-linear representation of G* is a pair (V,ρ) of a finite dimensional F-vector space V and an F-linear action $\rho\colon G\times V\to V$ that is continuous with respect to the discrete topology on V. We denote by $\mathrm{Rep}_F^{\text{cts}}(G)$ the category of continuous F-linear representations of G.

Definition 2.2. Let F be a field and G a topological group, we define the *algebraic hull of G over F* to be the affine group scheme over F associated, by Tannakian duality ([DM82, Thm. 2.11]), with the pair $(\mathrm{Rep}_F^{\text{cts}}(G),\omega_G)$, where $\omega_G(V,\rho)=V$ is the forgetful functor. We denote this group scheme by G^{cts}.

We recall the following elementary result in topology theory.

Lemma 2.3. *Given F a field, G a topological group, V a finite dimensional F-vector space and $\rho\colon G\times V\to V$ an F-linear G-action on V, the following are equivalent:*

1. *$\rho\colon G\times V\to V$ is continuous with respect to the discrete topology on V,*
2. *the group morphism $\rho\colon G\to\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ is continuous with respect to the compact-open topology on $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$,*
3. *the group morphism $\rho\colon G\to\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ is continuous with respect to the discrete topology on $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$.*

In the next statements we will describe the algebraic hulls of finite and pro-finite groups.

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a finite group and G^{cts} be its algebraic hull over a given field F, then G^{cts} is isomorphic to the constant group scheme over F associated with G.*

Proof. Since G is finite, the category $\mathrm{Rep}_F^{\mathrm{cts}}(G)$ is equivalent to the category of finite dimensional F-linear representations $\mathrm{Rep}_F(G)$ and hence to the category of finitely generated $F[G]$-modules, where $F[G]$ is the F-Hopf algebra generated by the elements of G. Let F^G be the dual F-Hopf algebra of $F[G]$, then $\mathrm{Rep}_F(G)$ is equivalent to the category of finitely generated F^G-comodules. This implies, by [DM82, Ex. 2.15], that $G^{\mathrm{cts}} = \mathrm{Spec}(F^G)$ and hence it is the constant group scheme associated with G. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let F be a field and $\pi = \varprojlim_i \pi_i$ a complete pro-finite group with surjective transition maps, then π^{cts}, the algebraic hull of π over F, is isomorphic to F- group scheme*

$$\pi_F := \varprojlim_i (\pi_i)_F,$$

where $(\pi_i)_F$ are the constant group schemes associated with the finite quotients π_i.

Proof. Since π_i is finite, by Lemma 2.4, π_i^{cts} is the constant group scheme over F associated with π_i, which we denote by $(\pi_i)_F$.

The natural map $\mathrm{pr}_i \colon \pi \to \pi_i$ induces a tensor functor between the categories of continuous representations

$$F_{\varphi_i} \colon \mathrm{Rep}_F^{\mathrm{cts}}(\pi_i) \to \mathrm{Rep}_F^{\mathrm{cts}}(\pi),\ F_{\varphi_i}(V,\rho) := (V, \rho \circ \mathrm{pr}_i).$$

By [DM82, Cor. 2.9], this induces, for each i, a morphism of F-group schemes

$$\varphi_i \colon \pi^{\mathrm{cts}} \to (\pi_i)_F.$$

Hence, there exists a natural morphism of F-group schemes

$$\varphi \colon \pi^{\mathrm{cts}} \to \varprojlim_i (\pi_i)_F,$$

which corresponds to a functor

$$F_\varphi \colon \mathrm{Rep}_F(\varprojlim_i (\pi_i)_F) \to \mathrm{Rep}_F(\pi^{\mathrm{cts}}) \simeq \mathrm{Rep}_F^{\mathrm{cts}}(\pi).$$

Since the maps pr_i are surjective, the functor F_{φ_i} satisfies the criterion of [DM82, Prop. 2.21]. This implies that φ_i is faithfully flat and, in particular, that it is surjective. If $\pi^{\mathrm{cts}} = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ and $(\pi_i)_F = \mathrm{Spec}(B_i)$, then the affine morphism φ_i corresponds to an injective morphism of F-Hopf algebras $\varphi_i \colon B_i \subset A$. Thus, the induced map $\varinjlim_i B_i \to A$, which corresponds to the morphism φ, is injective as well and, by [Mil12, VI,Thm 11.1], is faithfully flat. Then, by [DM82, Prop. 2.21], F_φ is fully faithful and it remains to show that it is also essentially surjective.

By Lemma 2.3, given an object $(V,\rho) \in \mathrm{Rep}_F^{\mathrm{cts}}(\pi)$, the map $\rho \colon \pi \to \mathrm{Aut}(V)$ is continuous with respect to the discrete topology on $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$, which implies that ρ factors through a finite quotient π_i of π. Thus, there exists a $(\pi_i)_F$-action ρ_i on V, such that $\rho_i \circ \varphi_i = \rho$. Let $p_i \colon \varprojlim_i \pi_i^{\mathrm{cts}} \to \pi_i^{\mathrm{cts}}$ be the natural morphism of F- group schemes, then

$$F_\varphi(V, \rho_i \circ p_i) := (V, \rho_i \circ p_i \circ \varphi) = (V, \rho_i \circ \varphi_i) = (V, \rho).$$

□

3. Descent of coherent sheaves with meromorphic data

The following notation will be used throughout these last three sections. We fix k an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$, we set A to be a complete discrete valuation ring of characteristic p with residue field k, we denote by K the fraction field of A and we set $S = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$. Moreover, we fix $X \to S$ a projective semi-stable curve with connected closed fibre X_0 and geometrically connected smooth generic fibre X_K.

Under the assumption that the closed fibre X_0 is degenerate, that is that the normalizations of its irreducible components are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1_k$, in [Gie73] Gieseker associated with a K-linear representation of the free group $\mathbb{Z}^{\star r}$, with $r = p_a(X_0)$ the arithmetic genus of X_0, a stratified bundle on $X_{\overline{K}}$. We have proved in Theorem 1.17 that the group $\mathbb{Z}^{\star r}$, which had only a computational description in [Mum72], is, in fact, isomorphic to the pro-étale fundamental group of X_0.

The degeneracy assumption was essential for Mumford because it allowed him to construct a universal cover of X_0. We can reinterpret this phenomenon also in terms of the pro-étale fundamental group. Indeed, if X_0 is degenerate, then the left regular $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0, \xi)$-action on the set $S = \pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0, \xi)$ is continuous with respect to the discrete topology on S. Hence, it induces an object of the category $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0, \xi)$-Sets, which corresponds to the universal cover Y_0 of X_0. On the other hand, if X_0 is not degenerate, the regular action on $S = \pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0, \xi)$ is not continuous with respect to the discrete topology on S. Thus, we are not able to generalize the construction of Y_0 to any semi-stable curve X_0. We overcome this issue by associating with each continuous representation of $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0, \xi)$ a specific geometric covering of X_0.

Definition 3.1. Let $\widehat{X}$ be the completion of X along X_0, then we denote by $\mathrm{Et}_{\widehat{X}}$ the category of formal schemes that are étale over $\widehat{X}$. We define $\mathrm{Cov}_{\widehat{X}}$ to be the full subcategory $\mathrm{Et}_{\widehat{X}}$ given by the essential image of Cov_{X_0} via the equivalence in [SGA1, Exp. IX Prop 1.7]. We call the objects of $\mathrm{Cov}_{\widehat{X}}$ *geometric coverings of* $\widehat{X}$.

Remark 3.2. Note that the categories Cov_{X_0} and Cov_X are, in general, not equivalent. A counterexample is given by stable curves over S with smooth generic fibre and degenerate closed fibre. If X is such a curve, then, by [Liu02, Prop.10.3.15], X is a normal scheme and, by Proposition 1.8, $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X)$ is a profinite group. While, by Proposition 1.17, $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\star r}$ with $r = p_a(X_0) \geq 2$, so the groups $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X)$ and $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0)$ are not isomorphic.

This counterexample also shows that there isn't a specialization morphism between the topological groups $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_{\overline{K}})$ and $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0)$ that lifts the étale specialization map. Indeed, any continuous morphism

$$\mathrm{sp}\colon \pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_{\overline{K}}) \simeq \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}) \to \mathbb{Z}^{\star r}$$

factors through a finite quotient of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}})$. Since $\mathbb{Z}^{\star r}$ is a free group, this implies that sp is the zero map. Under the same assumptions, the étale specialization map is surjective, hence these morphisms are not compatible.

Lemma 3.3. *Let ξ be a geometric point of X_0 and, for $i = 1, \ldots, N$, let C_i be the irreducible components of X_0 and $\overline{C_i}$ their normalizations, then there exists an*

equivalence of categories

$$\mathrm{Rep}_K^{\mathrm{cts}}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X_0,\xi)) \simeq \mathrm{Rep}_K^{\mathrm{cts}}(\mathbb{Z}^{\star|I|-N+1} \star \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_1}) \star \cdots \star \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_N})).$$

Proof. By Proposition 1.17, we have that, for $r = |I| - N + 1$,

$$\pi_1^{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X_0,\xi) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star_N \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_1}) \star_N \cdots \star_N \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_N}).$$

Moreover, by Corollary 1.14, $\mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star_N \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_1}) \star_N \cdots \star_N \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_N})$ is isomorphic to the Raîkov completion of $\mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star_{\mathcal{B}} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_1}) \star_{\mathcal{B}} \cdots \star_{\mathcal{B}} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_N})$, defined as in Lemma 1.13. To simplify the notation let $\pi_{\mathcal{B}} = \mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star_{\mathcal{B}} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_1}) \star_{\mathcal{B}} \cdots \star_{\mathcal{B}} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_N})$. By [AT08, Thm. 3.6.10], there exists a continuous morphism $\sigma\colon \pi_{\mathcal{B}} \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X_0,\xi)$, whose image is dense. Hence σ induces a fully faithful functor

$$\widetilde{\sigma}\colon \mathrm{Rep}_K^{\mathrm{cts}}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X_0,\xi)) \to \mathrm{Rep}_K^{\mathrm{cts}}(\pi_{\mathcal{B}}).$$

Let (V,ρ) be a representation of $\pi_{\mathcal{B}}$, then, by Lemma 2.3, ρ induces a morphism $\rho\colon \pi_{\mathcal{B}} \to \mathrm{Aut}(V)$ that is continuous with respect to the discrete topology on $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$. Since discrete topology are Raîkov complete, by [AT08, Prop. 3.6.12], ρ admits an extension to $\hat{\rho}\colon \pi_1^{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X_0,\xi) \to \mathrm{Aut}(V)$ such that $\hat{\rho} \circ \sigma = \rho$. This implies that $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is an equivalence of categories.

Futhermore, as in Corollary 1.14, we see that the identity induces an equivalence of categories

$$\mathrm{Rep}_K^{\mathrm{cts}}(\pi_{\mathcal{B}}) \simeq \mathrm{Rep}_K^{\mathrm{cts}}(\mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_1}) \star \cdots \star \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_N})).$$

□

Let us fix an element $(K^n,\rho) \in \mathrm{Rep}_K^{\mathrm{cts}}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{proet}}(X_0,\xi))$. By Corollary 3.3 and Lemma 2.3, ρ corresponds to a K-linear representation

$$\rho\colon \mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_1}) \star \cdots \star \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_N}) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(K),$$

which is continuous with respect to the discrete topology on $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$. Thus, by the universal property of the free product, (K^n,ρ) corresponds to the following data:

- a continuous morphism $\rho_i^{\mathrm{dis}}\colon \mathbb{Z} \to \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ for $i = 1,\dots,r$,
- a continuous morphism $\rho_j^{\text{ét}}\colon \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_j}) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ for $j = 1,\dots,N$.

By continuity, each morphism $\rho_j^{\text{ét}}$ factors through a finite quotient of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_j})$, which we call G_i. In particular, by the universal property of the free product, (K^n,ρ) factors through a continuous K-linear representation

$$\rho\colon \mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star G_1 \star \cdots \star G_N \to \mathrm{GL}_n(K).$$

Clearly, $\mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star G_1 \star \cdots \star G_N$ is a quotient of $\mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_1}) \star \cdots \star \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_N})$. Since it is a discrete group, by [AT08, Prop. 3.6.12] it is also a quotient of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X_0,\xi)$ and we denote the quotient map by q.

By Proposition 1.5, the set $\mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star G_1 \star \cdots \star G_N$, endowed with the action given by q, corresponds to a connected geometric covering of X_0, which we denote by Y_0^{ρ}.

Definition 3.4. We set $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ to be the geometric covering of $\widehat{X}$ that corresponds to the geometric covering Y_0^{ρ} of X_0 defined above.

By construction, we see that

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\widehat{X}) \simeq \mathrm{Aut}(Y_0^{\rho}|X_0) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star G_1 \star \cdots \star G_N)^{\mathrm{op}}. \tag{3.5}$$

Similarly, we can endow the set $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_N$ with a $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0)$-action, by composing the map q with the quotient map

$$\alpha \colon \mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star G_1 \star \cdots \star G_N \to G_1 \times \cdots \times G_N.$$

Hence, we can associate with $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_N$ a finite étale cover Z_0^ρ of X_0.

Definition 3.6. We set $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$ to be the finite étale covering of $\widehat{X}$ that corresponds to the finite étale covering Z_0^ρ of X_0 defined above.

We can observe that

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Z}_\rho|\widehat{X}) \simeq \mathrm{Aut}(Z_0^\rho|X_0) \simeq (G_1 \times \cdots \times G_N)^{\mathrm{op}}. \tag{3.7}$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{Y}_\rho \to \widehat{X}$ factors through $q \colon \mathcal{Y}_\rho \to \mathcal{Z}_\rho$ and we have

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho) \simeq \mathrm{Aut}(Y_0^\rho|Z_0^\rho) \simeq \ker(\alpha)^{\mathrm{op}}. \tag{3.8}$$

Note that the morphism $\mathcal{Y}_\rho \to \widehat{X} \to X \to S$ corresponds to $A \to \Gamma(\mathcal{Y}_\rho, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho})$. Hence, a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}$-module is a sheaf of A-modules.

Definition 3.9. Given $\mathcal{F}$ a coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$, we call *meromorphic descent data relative to $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$ on $\mathcal{F}$* a collection of elements

$$h_g \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{Y}_\rho, \mathit{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}}(\mathcal{F}, g^\star\mathcal{F}) \otimes_A K),\ g \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)$$

that satisfy:

- $g^\star h_{g'} \circ h_g = h_{g' \circ g}$ for every $g, g' \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)$;
- $h_{\mathrm{Id}} = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{F} \otimes_A K}$.

Definition 3.10. Given $\{\mathcal{F}, h_g\}_{w \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)}$ and $\{\mathcal{G}, k_g\}_{w \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)}$ two coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ with meromorphic descent data relative to $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$, a *morphism of meromorphic descent data* from $\{\mathcal{F}, h_g\}$ to $\{\mathcal{G}, k_g\}$ is given by an element

$$f \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{Y}_\rho, \mathit{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \otimes_A K)$$

such that for every $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)$

$$k_g \circ f = g^\star(f) \circ h_g.$$

We denote by $\mathrm{Coh}^m(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)$ the category of coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ with meromorphic descent data relative to $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$.

Definition 3.11. Let $\{\mathcal{F}, h_w\}_{w \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)}$ be a coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ with meromorphic descent data relative to $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$, we say that $\{\mathcal{F}, h_w\}$ *descends to a coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$* if there exists $\mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{Z}_\rho)$ such that

$$\{\mathcal{F}, h_w\}_{w \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)} \simeq \{q^\star\mathcal{G}, h_w^q\}_{w \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)},$$

where $h_w^q \colon q^\star\mathcal{G} \to w^\star q^\star\mathcal{G}$ are the natural isomorphisms.

The following proposition is a generalization of [Gie73, Prop.1].

Proposition 3.12. *For every coherent sheaf $\{\mathcal{F}, h_w\}_{w \in Aut(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)}$ with meromorphic descent data relative to $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$, there exists a coherent sheaf $\{\mathcal{F}', k_w\}_{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)}$ with meromorphic descent data relative to $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$ that is isomorphic to $\{\mathcal{F}, h_w\}_{w \in Aut(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)}$ and such that*

$$k_w \in \mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathit{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}}(\mathcal{F}', w^\star\mathcal{F}')).$$

Proof. As in [Gie73, Prop.1], it suffices to show that, for any $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)$-invariant open $U \subset \mathcal{Y}_\rho$, there exists a quasi-compact open V of $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ such that

- V is not contained in U,
- $V \cap wV \subseteq U$ for all $w \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)$, $w \neq Id_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}$.

By Proposition 1.17, an irreducible component of $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ corresponds to an orbit of the left G_j-action on $\mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star G_1 \star \cdots \star G_N$, for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$. Given a word $s \in \mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star G_1 \star \cdots \star G_N$, we denote $\mathcal{Y}^j_s$ the irreducible component of $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ corresponding to the G_j-orbit of s. Since the action of $\ker(\alpha)^{\mathrm{op}}$ on $\mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star G_1 \star \cdots \star G_N$ is defined by right concatenation, given $\mathcal{Y}^j_s$ an irreducible component of $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ and $w \in \ker(\alpha)^{\mathrm{op}}$, $w \neq Id_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}$, we have

$$w(G_j s) = G_j s w \neq G_j s \text{ and } w(\mathcal{Y}^j_s) = \mathcal{Y}^j_{sw} \neq \mathcal{Y}^j_s.$$

Hence, the action of $\ker(\alpha)^{\mathrm{op}}$ on the set of irreducible components of $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ is free.

Let us suppose that we are given an open $\mathrm{Aut}(Y_\rho|Z_\rho)$-invariant set $U \subset \mathcal{Y}_\rho$, then for the construction of V there are two possible cases.
First case: there exists $x \in \mathcal{Y}_\rho \setminus U$ that is a non-singular point.

Then we set $\mathcal{Y}_x$ to be the irreducible component of $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ containing x, I_x to be the set of the singular points of $\mathcal{Y}_x$ and we define $V = \mathcal{Y}_x \setminus I_x$. By construction, V is not contained in U and we have, for all $w \in \ker(\alpha)^{\mathrm{op}}$, $w \neq Id_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}$,

$$V \cap wV = \emptyset \subset U.$$

Second case: $\mathcal{Y}_\rho \setminus U \subset I$, where I is the set of singular points of $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$.

Let $x \in \mathcal{Y}_\rho \setminus U$, then x belongs to exactly two irreducible components of $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$, say $\mathcal{Y}^i_s$ and $\mathcal{Y}^l_t$. Let I_x be the set of singular points of $\mathcal{Y}^i_s \cup Y^l_t$ different from x, then we set $V = (\mathcal{Y}^i_s \cup \mathcal{Y}^l_t) \setminus I_x$. Clearly, V is not contained in U. Moreover, if $w \in \ker(\alpha)^{\mathrm{op}}$ is a non-trivial word, then

$$V \cap wV = ((\mathcal{Y}^i_s \cap \mathcal{Y}^l_{tw}) \cup (\mathcal{Y}^l_t \cap \mathcal{Y}^i_{sw})) \setminus \{\text{sing. pts}\}.$$

Thus, there are three possibilities:

- $\mathcal{Y}^l_{tw} \neq \mathcal{Y}^i_s$ and $\mathcal{Y}^i_{sw} \neq \mathcal{Y}^l_t$, that implies $V \cap wV = \emptyset \subset U$,
- $\mathcal{Y}^l_{tw} = \mathcal{Y}^i_s$ and $\mathcal{Y}^i_{sw} \neq \mathcal{Y}^l_t$, that implies $V \cap wV = \mathcal{Y}^i_s \setminus \{\text{sing. pts of } \mathcal{Y}^i_s\} \subset U$,
- $\mathcal{Y}^l_{tw} \neq \mathcal{Y}^i_s$ and $\mathcal{Y}^i_{sw} = \mathcal{Y}^l_t$, that implies $V \cap wV = \mathcal{Y}^l_t \setminus \{\text{sing. pts of } \mathcal{Y}^l_t\} \subset U$.

Note that the case where $\mathcal{Y}^l_{tw} = \mathcal{Y}^i_s$ and $\mathcal{Y}^i_{sw} = \mathcal{Y}^l_t$ does not occur because it would imply that $w^2 = Id_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}$, which is not possible because $\ker(\alpha)^{\mathrm{op}}$ is torsion free. □

Remark 3.13. The action of $(\mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star G_1 \star \cdots \star G_N)^{\mathrm{op}}$ on the set of irreducible components of $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ is not free. Indeed, if $\emptyset$ is the empty word and $\mathcal{Y}^j_\emptyset$ is the irreducible component of $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ that corresponds to $G_j \subset F_\xi(\mathcal{Y}_\rho)$, then for every $g_j \in G_J$,

$$g_j(\mathcal{Y}^j_\emptyset) = \mathcal{Y}^j_{g_j} = \mathcal{Y}^j_\emptyset.$$

The following theorem generalizes [Gie73, Prop.2].

Theorem 3.14. *Any coherent sheaf $\{\mathcal{F}, h_w\}_{w \in Aut(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)}$ on $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ with meromorphic descent data relative to $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$ descends to a coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$.*

Proof. As in [Gie73, Prop.2], it suffices to prove that there exists a quasi-compact open subscheme T of $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ such that its $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)$-translates cover $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$.

We fix a non-trivial word $w \in \ker(\alpha)^{\mathrm{op}}$. Note that the irreducible components of the form $\mathcal{Y}^j_{s'}$ with $\alpha(s') = \alpha(s)$, defined as in the previous thereom's proof, are $\ker(\alpha)^{\mathrm{op}}$-translates of $\mathcal{Y}^j_{ws}$. Indeed, the word $t = s^{-1}w^{-1}s'$ satifies

$$t(\mathcal{Y}^j_{ws}) = \mathcal{Y}^j_{wst} = \mathcal{Y}^j_{s'}.$$

Given an element $g = (g_1, \dots, g_N) \in G_1 \times \cdots \times G_N$, we denote by $\sigma(g)$ the word $g_1 \cdots g_N \in \mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star G_1 \star \cdots \star G_N$ with letters $g_i \in G_i$ and we define the map

$$\sigma \colon G_1 \times \cdots \times G_N \to \mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star G_1 \star \cdots \star G_N,\ \sigma(g_1, \dots, g_N) = g_1 \cdots g_N.$$

We denote by 1_i the word whose only letter is the element $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ belonging to the i-th copy of $\mathbb{Z}$. Then we set

$$T_G = \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} \bigcup_{g \in G_1 \times \cdots \times G_N} \left(\mathcal{Y}^j_{w\sigma(g)} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{Y}^j_{1_i w\sigma(g)} \right).$$

and we define I_G to be set of points of T_G that are intersection points with irreducible components of $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ not contained in T_G. Finally, we set

$$T = T_G \setminus I_G.$$

By construction, T is an open quasi-compact sub-scheme of $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$, so it suffices to prove that its $\ker(\alpha)^{\mathrm{op}}$-translates cover $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$.

Given $s \in \mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star G_1 \star \cdots \star G_N$, we set $g_s := \alpha(s)$. Since $\alpha(s) = \alpha(w\sigma(g_s))$, there exists $t \in \ker(\alpha)^{\mathrm{op}}$ such that

$$t(\mathcal{Y}^j_{w\sigma(g_s)}) = \mathcal{Y}^j_s.$$

This implies that

$$\mathcal{Y}_\rho = \bigcup_{t \in \ker(\alpha)^{\mathrm{op}}} t(T_G).$$

To conclude, it suffices to prove that for every $x \in I_G$ there exist $y \in T$ and $t \in \ker(\alpha)^{\mathrm{op}}$ such that $t(y) = x$. A point $x \in I_G$ is, by definition, an intersection point of an irreducible component of T_G and an irreducible component of $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ not contained in T_G. We assume that $x \in \mathcal{Y}^j_{w\sigma(g)} \cap \mathcal{Y}^k_{s'}$ for some $j, k = 1, \dots, N$, $s' \in \mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star G_1 \star \cdots \star G_N$ and $g \in G_1 \times \cdots \times G_N$. We recall that $\mathcal{Y}^j_{w\sigma(g)}$ corresponds to the G_j-orbit

$$G_j w\sigma(g) \subset F_\xi(\mathcal{Y}_\rho) = \mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star G_1 \star \cdots \star G_N,$$

while $\mathcal{Y}^k_{s'}$ corresponds to the G_k-orbit

$$G_k s' \subset F_\xi(\mathcal{Y}_\rho) = \mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star G_1 \star \cdots \star G_N.$$

Hence, the point x corresponds to the identification of two words of the form

$$w_{x_j} = h_j w\sigma(g) \in G_j w\sigma(g) \text{ and } w_{x_k} = h_k s' \in G_k s'.$$

However, by Proposition 1.17, the identification of the points in the different orbits is given either by the identity or by the action of $\mathbb{Z}^{\star r}$ on $\mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star G_1 \star \cdots \star G_N$. Hence, there are only two possibilities:

(1) $w_{x_k} = w_{x_j}$, which implies $h_k s' = h_j w\sigma(g)$,
(2) there exists i such that $w_{x_k} = 1_i w_{x_j}$, which implies $h_k s' = 1_i h_j w\sigma(g)$.

Let $g' = \alpha(h_j w\sigma(g))$, then, if x lies over the singular point $a \in \widehat{X}$, we define $y \in F_a(\mathcal{Y}_\rho)$ to be the point corresponding, via a chosen path from ξ to a, to the word

$$w_y = w\sigma(g') \in G_j w\sigma(g') \subset F_\xi(\mathcal{Y}_\rho).$$

Since $\alpha(w_y) = \alpha(w_{x_j})$, there exists $t \in \ker(\alpha)^{\mathrm{op}}$ such that $t(w_y) = w_{x_j}$, which implies $t(y) = x$. It remains to prove that $y \in T$.

If 1. is satisfied, the word w_y is identified to the word $w\sigma(g') \in G_k w\sigma(g')$ and we have

$$y \in \mathcal{Y}^j_{w\sigma(g')} \cap \mathcal{Y}^k_{w\sigma(g')} \subset T.$$

If 2. is satisfied, the word w_y is identified to the word $1_i w\sigma(g') \in G_k w\sigma(g')$ and we have

$$y \in \mathcal{Y}^j_{w\sigma(g')} \cap \mathcal{Y}^k_{1_i w\sigma(g')} \subset T.$$

The case where x is an intersection point of two irreducible components of the form $\mathcal{Y}^j_{1_i w\sigma(g)} \cap \mathcal{Y}^k_{s'}$ follows by the same argument. □

Theorem 3.15. *Given $(K^n, \rho) \in \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{cts}}_K(\pi_1^{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X_0, \xi))$, let Z_ρ be the finite étale covering of X corresponding to $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$ and Z^ρ_K its generic fibre, then the category $Coh^m(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)$ of coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ with meromorphic descent relative to $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$ is equivalent to the category $\mathrm{Coh}(Z^\rho_K)$ of coherent sheaves on Z^ρ_K.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.14 and [Gie73, Prop. 2], $\mathrm{Coh}^m(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)$ is equivalent to the category $\mathrm{Coh}^K(\mathcal{Z}_\rho)$, whose objects are coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$ and whose morphisms defined by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Coh}^K(\mathcal{Z}_\rho)}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_\rho}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \otimes_A K.$$

Moreover, by Grothendieck's existence theorem [EGA III, Cor.5.1.6], the category $\mathrm{Coh}^K(\mathcal{Z}_\rho)$ is equivalent to the category $\mathrm{Coh}^K(Z_\rho)$, whose objects are coherent sheaves on Z_ρ and whose maps are given by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Coh}^K(Z_\rho)}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_\rho}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \otimes_A K.$$

Denoting $j\colon Z^\rho_K \to Z_\rho$ the open immersion, it suffices to show that the functor

$$j^\star\colon \mathrm{Coh}^K(Z_\rho) \to \mathrm{Coh}(Z^\rho_K)$$

is an equivalence of categories. By flat base change [Liu02, 5.2.27], for every coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on Z_ρ and for any $p \geq 0$,

$$\mathrm{H}^p(Z_\rho, \mathcal{F}) \otimes_A K \cong \mathrm{H}^p(Z^\rho_K, j^\star\mathcal{F}).$$

Applying this to the sheaf $Hom_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_\rho}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$, for every $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ coherent sheaves, we get that $j^\star$ is a fully faithful functor. Moreover, since Z_ρ is proper over S, by [EGA I, Thm. 9.4.8] the functor $j^\star$ is essentially surjective. □

We define now meromorphic data associated with a representation (K^n, ρ) on the trivial sheaf $\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}$ and we prove that they define a coherent sheaf on X_K.

Definition 3.16. Given $(K^n, \rho) \in \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{cts}}_K(\pi_1^{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X_0, \xi))$, let

$$\gamma\colon \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\widehat{X}) \to \mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star G_1 \star \cdots \star G_N$$

be the composition of the isomorphism in (3.5) and the inversion and set $\tilde{\rho} := \rho \circ \gamma$. We define the *meromorphic descent data on $\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}$ induced by ρ* as the collection $\{h^\rho_w\}_{w \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\widehat{X})}$, where the morphisms h^ρ_w are given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho} \otimes_A K^n & \xrightarrow{h^\rho_w} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho} \otimes_A K^n \\ f \otimes v & \longrightarrow & f \otimes \tilde{\rho}(w)(v)\ . \end{array}$$

Definition 3.17. Given (K^n, ρ) a continuous $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0, \xi))$-representation that factors through $\mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star G_1 \star \cdots \star G_N$ we define, for every $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, the group morphism

$$s_j \colon G_j^{\text{op}} \to (\mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star G_1 \star \cdots \star G_N)^{\text{op}},$$

which sends an element $g_j \in G_j$ to the word that consists of the letter g_j.

Lemma 3.18. *Given $(K^n, \rho) \in \text{Rep}_K^{\text{cts}}(\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0, \xi))$, fix $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, $g_j \in G_j$ and let $\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}, k_w^{g_j}\}_{w \in Aut(\mathcal{Y}_\rho | \mathcal{Z}_\rho)}$ be the sheaf with meromorphic descend data relative to $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$ given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho} \otimes_A K^n &\xrightarrow{k_w^{g_j}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho} \otimes_A K^n \\ f \otimes v &\longrightarrow f \otimes \tilde{\rho}(s_j(g_j) \circ w \circ s_j(g_j)^{-1})(v) \ , \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\rho}$ and s_j are defined as above. If the sheaf $\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}, h_w^\rho\}_{w \in Aut(\mathcal{Y}_\rho | \mathcal{Z}_\rho)}$ with meromorphic descent data induced by ρ descends to the coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$, then $\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}, k_w^{g_j}\}_{w \in Aut(\mathcal{Y}_\rho | \mathcal{Z}_\rho)}$ descends to $g_j{}^\star \mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$.

Proof. If $\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}, h_w^\rho\}_{w \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho | \mathcal{Z}_\rho)}$ descends to the coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$, there exists an isomorphism ψ such that for every $w' \in \ker(\alpha)^{\text{op}}$ the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} q^\star \mathcal{F} \otimes_A K & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho} \otimes_A K^n \\ {\scriptstyle id}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h^\rho_{w'}} \\ w'^\star q^\star \mathcal{F} \otimes_A K = q^\star \mathcal{F} \otimes_A K & \xrightarrow{w'^\star \psi} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho} \otimes_A K^n \ . \end{array} \tag{3.19}$$

Moreover, for every $g_j \in G_j^{\text{op}}$, $g_j \circ q = q \circ s_j(g_j)$, and thus

$$s_j(g_j)^\star q^\star \mathcal{F} = q^\star g_j{}^\star \mathcal{F} \otimes_A K.$$

Finally, if we take $w' = s_j(g_j) \circ w \circ s_j(g_j)^{-1}$ and we apply $s_j(g_j)^\star$ to (3.19), we see that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} s_j(g_j)^\star q^\star \mathcal{F} \otimes_A K & \xrightarrow{s_j(g_j)^\star \psi} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho} \otimes_A K^n \\ {\scriptstyle id}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle k_w^{g_j}} \\ s_j(g_j)^\star q^\star \mathcal{F} \otimes_A K & \xrightarrow{w^\star s_j(g_j)^\star \psi} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho} \otimes_A K^n \ . \end{array}$$

Therefore, we can conclude that $\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}, k_w^{g_j}\}_{w \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho | \mathcal{Z}_\rho)}$ descends to $g_j{}^\star \mathcal{F}$. □

Theorem 3.20. *Given $(K^n, \rho) \in \text{Rep}_K^{\text{cts}}(\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0, \xi))$, let Z_ρ be the finite étale cover of X associated with $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$, Z_K^ρ its generic fibre and let $\mathcal{F}_K$ be the coherent sheaf on Z_K^ρ that corresponds to $\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}, h_w^\rho\}_{w \in Aut(\mathcal{Y}_\rho | \mathcal{Z}_\rho)}$ via the equivalence in Theorem 3.15, then $\mathcal{F}_K$ descends to a coherent sheaf on X_K.*

Proof. The idea of the proof is to break the argument in N steps and proceed by induction. Before we proceed let us first define the intermediate steps.

We set $\mathcal{Z}_N := \mathcal{Z}_\rho$ and $Z_K^N := Z_K^\rho$, then

$$\text{Aut}(Z_\rho | X) = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{Z}_N | \widehat{X}) = (G_1 \times \cdots G_N)^{\text{op}}.$$

For $i = 1, \dots, N-1$, we define q_{N-i} to be the projection

$$q_{N-i} \colon G_i \times G_{i+1} \times \cdots \times G_N \to G_{i+1} \times \cdots \times G_N,$$

while

$$q_0 \colon G_N \to 1 \text{ and } q_N := \alpha.$$

For $i = 0, \dots, N-1$, the set $G_{i+1} \times \cdots \times G_N$, is endowed with a $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0, \xi)$-action, via the map

$$\alpha_{N-i} = q_{N-i} \circ \cdots \circ q_{N-1} \circ q_N \colon \mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star G_1 \star \cdots \star G_N \to G_{i+1} \times \cdots \times G_N.$$

Thus, it corresponds to a finite étale coverings $Z_0^{N-i} \to X_0$. We define $\mathcal{Z}_{N-i}$ to be the corresponding finite étale covering of $\widehat{X}$. Furthermore, if Z_{N-i} is the finite étale cover of X corresponding to $\mathcal{Z}_{N-i}$, then we set Z_K^{N-i} to be its generic fibre. By construction,

$$\begin{gathered}\operatorname{Aut}(Z_{N-i}|X) = \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{Z}_{N-i}|\widehat{X}) = (G_{i+1} \times \cdots G_N)^{\text{op}},\\ \operatorname{Aut}(Z_{N-i+1}|Z_{N-i}) = \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{Z}_{N-i+1}|\mathcal{Z}_{N-i}) = G_i^{\text{op}},\\ \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_{N-i}) = \ker(\alpha_{N-i})^{\text{op}}.\end{gathered}$$

Since by [Liu02, Prop. 10.3.15] X is a normal scheme, Z_{N-i} is normal as well. Thus, by [LM99, Lemma 4.11], the pullback along the generic fibre induces the natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{gathered}\operatorname{Aut}(Z_{N-i}|X) \simeq \operatorname{Aut}(Z_K^{N-i}|X_K),\\ \operatorname{Aut}(Z_K^{N-i+1}|Z_K^{N-i}) \simeq \operatorname{Aut}(Z_{N-i+1}|Z_{N-i}) = G_i^{\text{op}}.\end{gathered}$$

To construct descent data for the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_K$ relative to the finite étale map $q_{N-1} \colon Z_K^N \to Z_K^{N-1}$, it suffices to construct, for every $g_1 \in G_1^{\text{op}}$, isomorphisms

$$h_{g_1}^{N-1} \colon \mathcal{F}_K \to {g_1}^\star \mathcal{F}_K,$$

satisfying the co-cycle condition.

The sheaf with meromorphic descent data $\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}, k_w^{g_1}\}_{w \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)}$, defined in Lemma 3.18, descends to ${g_1}^\star\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{Z}_N$ so, by Theorem 3.15, we need to construct

$$h_{g_1}^{N-1} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_K^N}}(\mathcal{F}_K, {g_1}^\star \mathcal{F}_K) = \operatorname{Hom}(\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}, h_w^\rho\}, \{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}, k_w^{g_1}\}).$$

Let s_1 be as in Definition 3.17, then we set, for every $g_1 \in G_1$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho} \otimes_A K^n & \xrightarrow{h_{g_1}^{N-1}} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho} \otimes_A K^n \\ f \otimes v & \longrightarrow & f \otimes \tilde{\rho}(s_1(g_1))(v)\ . \end{array}$$

Since

$$\tilde{\rho}(s_1(g_1) \circ w \circ s_1(g_1)^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\rho}(s_1(g_1)) = \tilde{\rho}(s_1(g_1) \circ w \circ s_1(g_1)^{-1} \circ s_1(g_1)) = \tilde{\rho}(s_1(g_1) \circ w),$$

the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho} \otimes_A K^n & \xrightarrow{h_{g_1}^{N-1}} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho} \otimes_A K^n \\ {\scriptstyle h_w^\rho}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle k_w^{g_1}} \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho} \otimes_A K^n & \xrightarrow[w^\star h_{g_1}^{N-1}]{} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho} \otimes_A K^n\ . \end{array}$$

Hence, $\{h_{g_1}^{N-1}\}$ induce the isomorphisms we wanted and $\mathcal{F}_K$ descends to a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}_K^{N-1}$ on Z_K^{N-1}. We denote by $\mathcal{F}_{N-1}$ the corresponding sheaf on $\mathcal{Z}_{N-1}$

We want now to construct descent data for the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_K^{N-1}$ relative to the finite étale map $q_{N-2} \colon Z_K^{N-1} \to Z_K^{N-2}$.

We notice that, for $i = 1, \dots, N$, the following sequence of groups is exact

$$1 \to \ker(\alpha_{N-i+1})^{\mathrm{op}} \to \ker(\alpha_{N-i})^{\mathrm{op}} \to G_i^{\mathrm{op}} \to 1$$

and the map $s_i \colon G_i^{\mathrm{op}} \to \ker(\alpha_{N-i})^{\mathrm{op}}$, defined as in Definition 3.17, induces a section. Thus, any word $w \in \ker(\alpha_{N-1})^{\mathrm{op}}$ can be written as

$$w = w' \circ s_1(g_1) \text{ with } w' \in \ker(\alpha_N)^{\mathrm{op}} = \ker(\alpha)^{\mathrm{op}},\ g_1 \in G_1^{\mathrm{op}}.$$

Given $w = w' \circ s_1(g_1)$, we set, for $f \otimes v \in \mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho} \otimes_A K$,

$$h_w^{N-2}(f \otimes v) := s_1(g_1)^\star h^\rho_{w'} \circ h_{g_1}^{N-1}(f \otimes v) = f \otimes \tilde{\rho}(w)v.$$

By construction, $\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}, h_w^{N-2}\}_{w \in \ker(\alpha_{N-1})^{\mathrm{op}}}$ is a sheaf with meromorphic descent data on $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ relative to $\mathcal{Z}_{N-1}$. Moreover, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
q^\star q_{N-1}^\star \mathcal{F}_{N-1} \otimes_A K & \xrightarrow{q^\star \psi_{N-1}} & q^\star \mathcal{F} \otimes_A K & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho} \otimes_A K^n \\
\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle q^\star h_g^{N-1}}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle h_g^{N-1}}\downarrow \\
q^\star q_{N-1}^\star \mathcal{F}_{N-1} \otimes_A K & \xrightarrow{q^\star g_1^\star \psi_{N-1}} & s_1(g_1)^\star q^\star \mathcal{F} \otimes_A K & \xrightarrow{s_1(g_1)^\star \psi} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho} \otimes_A K^n \\
{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Id}}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \mathrm{Id}}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle s_1(g_1)^\star h_{w'}}\downarrow \\
q^\star q_{N-1}^\star \mathcal{F}_{N-1} \otimes_A K & \xrightarrow{s_1(g_1)^\star q^\star \psi_{N-1}} & s_1(g_1)^\star q^\star \mathcal{F} \otimes_A K & \xrightarrow{s_1(g_1)^\star w'^\star \psi} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho} \otimes_A K^n.
\end{array}$$

The upper left square commutes because $\mathcal{F}$ descends to $\mathcal{F}_{N-1}$ on Z_{N-1}; the upper right by construction of h_g^{N-1}; the lower left square commutes because $g_j \circ q = q \circ s_j(g_j)$; while the lower right commutes because $\{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}, h_w\}$ descends to $\mathcal{F}$. In particular, also the external square commutes and this implies that the sheaf $\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}, h_w^{N-2}\}_{w \in \ker(\alpha_{N-1})^{\mathrm{op}}}$ descends to $\mathcal{F}_{N-1}$ on $\mathcal{Z}_{N-1}$.

For every $g_2 \in G_2$, let $\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}, k_w^{g_2}\}_{w \in \ker(\alpha_{N-1})^{\mathrm{op}}}$ be defined by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho} \otimes_A K^n & \xrightarrow{k_w^{g_2}} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho} \otimes_A K^n \\
f \otimes v & \longrightarrow & f \otimes \tilde{\rho}(s_2(g_2) \circ w \circ s_2(g_2)^{-1})(v).
\end{array}$$

As in Lemma 3.18, we find that $\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}, k_w^{g_2}\}$ descends to the sheaf $g_2^\star \mathcal{F}_{N-1}$ on Z_{N-1}. Then, repeating the argument of the base step, we find that the maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho} \otimes_A K^n & \xrightarrow{h_{g_2}^{N-2}} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho} \otimes_A K^n \\
f \otimes v & \longrightarrow & f \otimes \tilde{\rho}(s_2(g_2))(v)
\end{array}$$

induce descent data for $\mathcal{F}_K^{N-1}$ relative to the finite étale map $Z_K^{N-1} \to Z_K^{N-2}$. We complete the proof by induction on N. □

4. Specialization functor

In this section we construct the specialization functor between the category $\mathrm{Rep}_K^{\mathrm{cts}}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X_0, \xi))$ and the category $\mathrm{Strat}(X_{\overline{K}})$ of stratified bundles. We start recalling the definition and properties of the latter, keeping the notation of the previous section.

Definition 4.1. Let $X_K^{(i)}$ be the i-th Frobenius twist of X_K and $F_i\colon X_K^{(i+1)} \to X_K^{(i)}$ the relative Frobenius, then a *stratified bundle on* X_K is defined as a sequence $E = (\mathcal{E}_i, \sigma_i)_{i\geq 0}$, where $\mathcal{E}_i$ are bundles on $X_K^{(i)}$ and $\sigma_i\colon {F_i}^\star \mathcal{E}_{i+1} \to \mathcal{E}_i$ are $\mathcal{O}_{X_K^{(i)}}$-linear isomorphisms.

Definition 4.2. Given two stratified bundles $(\mathcal{E}_i, \sigma_i)$ and $(\mathcal{G}_i, \tau_i)$, a *morphism of stratified bundles* from $(\mathcal{E}_i, \sigma_i)$ to $(\mathcal{G}_i, \tau_i)$ is defined as a sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{X_K^{(i)}}$-linear maps $\alpha = \{\alpha_i\colon \mathcal{E}_i \to \mathcal{G}_i\}$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {F_i}^\star \mathcal{E}_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{{F_i}^\star \alpha_{i+1}} & {F_i}^\star \mathcal{G}_{i+1} \\ {\scriptstyle \sigma_i}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_i} \\ \mathcal{E}_i & \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} & \mathcal{G}_i\ . \end{array}$$

We denote the category of stratified bundles by $\mathrm{Strat}(X_K)$.

Proposition 4.3 ([SR72], Section. VI.1)**.** *The category* $\mathrm{Strat}(X_K)$ *of stratified bundles on* X_K *is a rigid abelian tensor category. Moreover, if* X_K *has a rational point* $x \in X_K(K)$*, the functor*

$$\omega_x\colon \mathrm{Strat}(X_K) \to \mathrm{Vec}_K,\ \omega_x(\mathcal{E}_i, \sigma_i) = x^*\mathcal{E}_0$$

is a fibre functor and the pair $(\mathrm{Strat}(X_K), \omega_x)$ *is a neutral Tannakian category.*

Definition 4.4. Let $\overline{K}$ be a fixed algebraic closure of K, $X_{\overline{K}} = X_K \times_K \mathrm{Spec}(\overline{K})$ the base change and $x \in X_{\overline{K}}(\overline{K})$ a closed point, we denote by $\pi^{\mathrm{strat}}(X_{\overline{K}}, x)$ the affine group scheme associated with $(\mathrm{Strat}(X_{\overline{K}}), \omega_x)$ via Tannakian duality.

We will now introduce the notion of stratified bundles with meromorphic descend data and generalize the results of the previous section to the category they form.

Definition 4.5. Given $\mathcal{Y}$ a geometric covering of $\widehat{X}$, a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{Y}$ is called *meromorphic bundle* if there exists a locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathcal{Y}$ such that $\mathcal{F} \otimes_A K \cong \mathcal{E} \otimes_A K$.

Remark 4.6. Note that, if X is a projective semi-stable curve over S with geometrically connected smooth generic fibre and connected closed fibre, then so are its Frobenius twists $X^{(i)}$. Indeed, by [Liu02, Prop. 10.3.15.(a)], $X^{(i)}$ is a projective semi-stablecurve over $S^{(i)}$. Moreover, the generic fibre of $X^{(i)}$ is $(X^{(i)})_K \cong (X_K)^{(i)}$, which is clearly smooth and geometrically connected, and the closed fibre of $X^{(i)}$ is $(X^{(i)})_0 \cong (X_0)^{(i)}$.

Definition 4.7. Given $(K^n, \rho) \in \mathrm{Rep}_K^{\mathrm{cts}}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X_0, \xi))$, let $\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i)}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_\rho^{(i)}$ be the i-th Frobenius twists of $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ and $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$ and $F_i\colon \mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i+1)} \to \mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i)}$ the relative Frobenius over S. A *stratified bundle with meromorphic descent data on* $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ *relative to* $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$ is given by the following data:

- $\{\mathcal{E}_i, h_w^i\}_{w\in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)}$, meromorphic bundles on $\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i)}$ with meromorphic descent data relative to $\mathcal{Z}_\rho^{(i)}$
$$h_w^i\colon \mathcal{E}_i \otimes_A K \to w^\star \mathcal{E}_i \otimes_A K,$$
- σ_i, isomorphisms of meromorphic descent data
$$\sigma_i\colon \{{F_i}^\star \mathcal{E}_{i+1}, {F_i}^\star h_w^{i+1}\}_{w\in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)} \to \{\mathcal{E}_i, h_w^i\}_{w\in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)},$$

for each $i \geq 0$.

In order to simplify the notation, we will often not specify the isomorphisms σ_i and we will denote a stratified bundle with meromorphic descent data by $E = \{\mathcal{E}_i, h_w^i\}$.

Definition 4.8. A *morphism of stratified bundles with meromorphic descent data relative to* $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$ from $\{\mathcal{E}_i, h_w^i, \sigma_i\}$ to $\{\mathcal{G}_i, k_w^i, \tau_i\}$ is given by a sequence $\{\alpha_i\}$ of morphisms of sheaves with meromorphic descent data on $\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i)}$ relative to $\mathcal{Z}_\rho^{(i)}$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {F_i}^\star\{\mathcal{E}_{i+1}, h_w^{i+1}\} & \xrightarrow{{F_i}^\star\alpha_{i+1}} & {F_i}^\star\{\mathcal{G}_{i+1}, k_w^{i+1}\} \\ \sigma_i \big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow \tau_i \\ \{\mathcal{E}_i, h_w^i, \sigma_i\} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} & \{\mathcal{G}_i, k_w^i, \tau_i\}\ . \end{array}$$

We denote by $\mathrm{Strat}^m(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)$ the category of stratified bundle with meromorphic descent data on $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ relative to $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$.

Lemma 4.9. *A representation* $(K^n, \rho) \in \mathrm{Rep}_K^{\mathrm{cts}}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X_0, \xi))$ *induces a stratified bundle with meromorphic descent data on* $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ *relative to* $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$.

Proof. Given a representation (K^n, ρ), we define the sheaf $\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i)}}, h_w^{\rho,i}\}_{w \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)}$ with meromorphic descent data relative to $\mathcal{Z}_\rho^{(i)}$, where, in analogy with Definition 3.16, $h_w^{\rho,i}$ are given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i)}} \otimes_A K^n & \xrightarrow{h_w^{\rho,i}} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i)}} \otimes_A K^n \\ f \otimes v & \longrightarrow & f \otimes \tilde{\rho}(w)(v)\ . \end{array}$$

By construction, it is clear that

$${F_i}^\star\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i+1)}}, h_w^{\rho,i+1}\} = \{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i)}}, h_w^{\rho,i}\}.$$

Hence, the sequence $\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i)}}, h_w^{\rho,i}\}$ is a stratified bundle with meromorphic descent data on $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ relative to $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$. □

Proposition 4.10. *Given* $(K^n, \rho) \in \mathrm{Rep}_K^{\mathrm{cts}}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X_0))$*, let* Z_ρ *be the finite étale covering of* X *corresponding to* $\mathcal{Z}_\rho$ *and* Z_K^ρ *its generic fibre, then the categories* $\mathrm{Strat}^m(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)$ *and* $\mathrm{Strat}(Z_K^\rho)$ *are equivalent.*

Proof. Given an object $\{\mathcal{E}_i, h_w^i\} \in \mathrm{Strat}^m(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)$, by Theorem 3.14, the sheaf $\{\mathcal{E}_i, h_w^i\}_{w \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)}$ with meromorphic descent data relative to $\mathcal{Z}_\rho^{(i)}$ descends to a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{G}^i$ on $\mathcal{Z}_\rho^{(i)}$ for every i, and it corresponds via Groethendieck's existence theorem to a coherent sheaf $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^i$ on $Z_\rho^{(i)}$. Since locally free sheaves descend via fpqc morphisms, then $\mathcal{G}^i$ and, consequently, $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^i$ are a meromorphic bundles.

Let F_Z, F_{Z_K}, $F_{\mathcal{Y}}$ be the relative Frobeni on Z_ρ, Z_K^ρ and $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ respectively and $p^i_{\mathcal{Y}/Z}$ the composition of $\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i)} \to \mathcal{Z}_\rho^{(i)}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_\rho^{(i)} \to Z_\rho^{(i)}$, then we have that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_\rho^{(i)}}}({F_Z^i}^\star\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{i+1}, \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^i) \otimes_A K \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i)}}}({p^i_{\mathcal{Y}/Z}}^\star({F^i_{Z_K}}^\star\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{i+1}), {p^i_{\mathcal{Y}/Z}}^\star\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^i) \otimes_A K.$$

Since $F_Z^i \circ p^i_{\mathcal{Y}/Z} = p^{i+1}_{\mathcal{Y}/Z} \circ F^i_{\mathcal{Y}}$, and ${p^i_{\mathcal{Y}/Z}}^\star\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^i \simeq \{\mathcal{E}_i, h_w^i\}$, we get

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_\rho^{(i)}}}({F_Z^i}^\star\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{i+1}, \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^i) \otimes_A K \simeq \mathrm{Hom}({F^i_{\mathcal{Y}}}^\star\{\mathcal{E}_{i+1}, h_w^{i+1}\}, \{\mathcal{E}_i, h_w^i\}).$$

Hence, the category $\mathrm{Strat}^m(\mathcal{Y}_\rho|\mathcal{Z}_\rho)$ is equivalent to the category $\mathrm{Strat}^K(Z_\rho)$, whose objects are defined by sequences of meromorphic bundles $\{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_i, \sigma_i\}$ on $Z_\rho^{(i)}$ with isomorphisms

$$\sigma_i \colon {F_Z^i}^\star \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+1} \otimes_A K \to \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_i \otimes_A K$$

and whose morphisms from $\{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_i, \sigma_i\}$ to $\{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}'_i, \tau_i\}$ are sequences $\{\varphi_i\}$ of morphisms

$$\varphi_i \colon \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_i \otimes_A K \to \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}'_i \otimes_A K$$

that are compatible with the isomorphisms σ_i and τ_i.

Similarly, we can conclude, in analogy with Theorem 3.15, that the categories $\mathrm{Strat}^K(Z_\rho)$ and $\mathrm{Strat}(Z_K^\rho)$ are equivalent. □

Proposition 4.11. *Given $(K^n, \rho) \in \mathrm{Rep}_K^{\mathrm{cts}}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X_0))$, then the stratified bundle $\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i)}}, h_w^{\rho,i}\}$ with meromorphic descent data induced by ρ descends to a stratified bundle on X_K.*

Proof. Applying Theorem 3.20 to every Frobenius twists of X, we see that, for every i, the stratified bundle with meromorphic descent data $\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i)}}, h_w^{\rho,i}\}$ descends to a locally free sheaf $\mathcal{F}^i_{\rho,K}$ on $X_K^{(i)}$. To prove that $\{\mathcal{F}^i_{\rho,K}\}$ is a stratified bundle on X_K it suffices to construct the isomorphisms

$$\sigma_i \colon {F^i_{X_K/K}}^\star \mathcal{F}^{i+1}_{\rho,K} \to \mathcal{F}^i_{\rho,K}.$$

By functoriality of the Frobenius and descent properties, we have that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X_K}}({F^i_{X_K}}^\star \mathcal{F}^{i+1}_{\rho,K}, \mathcal{F}^i_{\rho,K}) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}({F^i_{\mathcal{Y}}}^\star\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i+1)}}, h_w^{\rho,i+1}\}, \{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i)}}, h_w^{\rho,i}\}).$$

Hence, the identities

$${F^i_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho/S}}^\star\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i+1)}}, h_w^{\rho,i+1}\} = \{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i)}}, h_w^{\rho,i}\}$$

induce the isomorphisms σ_i that we wanted. □

Proposition 4.12. *The descent of stratified bundles with meromorphic descent data associated to continuous representations of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X_0, \xi)$ induces a tensor functor*

$$sp_K \colon \mathrm{Rep}_K^{\mathrm{cts}}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X_0, \xi)) \to \mathrm{Strat}(X_K).$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.11, given $(K^n, \rho) \in \mathrm{Rep}_K^{\mathrm{cts}}(\pi_1^{pro\acute{e}t}(X_0))$, the stratified bundle with meromorphic descent data $\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i)}}, h_w^{\rho,i}\}$ induced by ρ on $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ descends to a stratified bundle $\{\mathcal{F}^i_\rho\}$ on X_K. Thus, we can define

$$\mathrm{sp}_K(K^n, \rho) := \{\mathcal{F}^i_\rho\} \in \mathrm{Strat}(X_K).$$

Let $\varphi \colon (K^n, \rho) \to (K^m, \tau)$ be a morphism of representations and assume that ρ factors through the group $\mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star G_1 \star \cdots \star G_N$ and τ factors through the group $\mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star H_1 \star \cdots \star H_N$, then we denote by $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$ and $\mathcal{Y}_\tau$ the geometric coverings of $\widehat{X}$ associated with ρ and τ, as in Definition 3.4. Moreover, we associate with the set $\mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star (G_1 \times H_1) \star \cdots \star (G_N \times H_N)$ a geometric covering of $\widehat{X}$, which we call $\mathcal{Y}_{\rho,\tau}$.

We set

$$\rho' \colon \mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star (G_1 \times H_1) \star \cdots \star (G_N \times H_N) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$$

to be the unique group morphism such that $\rho'(w) = \rho(w)$ for every $w \in \mathbb{Z}^{\star r}$, and $\rho'(g_i, h_i) = \rho(g_i)$ for every $(g_i, h_i) \in G_i \times H_i$ and every $i = 1, \dots, N$. Similarly, we define τ'. By construction, there exist maps

$$p_\rho \colon \mathcal{Y}_{\rho,\tau} \to \mathcal{Y}_\rho \text{ and } p_\tau \colon \mathcal{Y}_{\rho,\tau} \to \mathcal{Y}_\tau.$$

and we have that

$$p_\rho^\star\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}, h^\rho_w\} = \{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_{\rho,\tau}}, h^{\rho'}_w\} \text{ and } p_\tau^\star\{\mathcal{O}^m_{\mathcal{Y}_\tau}, h^\tau_w\} = \{\mathcal{O}^m_{\mathcal{Y}_{\rho,\tau}}, h^{\tau'}_w\}.$$

By Theorem 3.14 and Theorem 3.20, for every i, we can define $F_i(\varphi)$ as the map corresponding to the morphism of meromorphic descent data

$$\alpha_\varphi \colon \{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}^{(i)}_{\rho,\tau}}, h^{\rho',i}_w\}_{w \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_{\rho,\tau}|\widehat{X})} \to \{\mathcal{O}^m_{\mathcal{Y}^{(i)}_{\rho,\tau}}, h^{\tau',i}_w\}_{w \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_{\rho,\tau}|\widehat{X})}$$

defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}^{(i)}_\rho} \otimes_A K^n & \xrightarrow{\alpha_\varphi} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}^{(i)}_\rho} \otimes_A K^m \\ f \otimes v & \longrightarrow & f \otimes \varphi(v)\ . \end{array}$$

By construction, it is clear that the collection $\{F_i(\varphi)\}$ induces a morphism of stratified bundles from $\{\mathcal{F}^i_\rho\}$ to $\{\mathcal{F}^i_\tau\}$. It remains to show that the functor we constructed is a tensor functor.

Given $(K^n, \rho), (K^m, \tau)$ two continuous representations, let $\mathcal{Y}_{\rho,\tau}$ be the geometric covering defined above. Then we define the representation

$$\rho' \otimes \tau' \colon \mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star (G_1 \times H_1) \star \cdots \star (G_N \times H_N) \to \mathrm{GL}_{nm}(K)$$

and we associate with it the stratified bundle on $\mathcal{Y}_{\rho,\tau}$ with meromorphic descent data $\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}^{(i)}_{\rho,\tau}}, h^{\rho'\otimes\tau',i}_w\}$. The tensor product of stratified bundles with meromorphic descent data is defined as follows

$$\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}^{(i)}_{\rho,\tau}}, h^{\rho',i}_w\} \otimes \{\mathcal{O}^m_{\mathcal{Y}^{(i)}_{\rho,\tau}}, h^{\tau',i}_w\} := \{\mathcal{O}^{nm}_{\mathcal{Y}^{(i)}_{\rho,\tau}}, h^{\rho',i}_w \otimes h^{\tau',i}_w\},$$

hence it is clear that

$$\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}^{(i)}_{\rho,\tau}}, h^{\rho'}_w\} \otimes \{\mathcal{O}^m_{\mathcal{Y}^{(i)}_{\rho,\tau}}, h^{\tau'}_w\} \simeq \{\mathcal{O}^{nm}_{\mathcal{Y}^{(i)}_{\rho\otimes\tau}}, h^{\rho'\otimes\tau'}_w\}.$$

By construction, $\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}^{(i)}_{\rho\otimes\tau}}, h^{\rho'}_w\}$ descends to $\mathrm{sp}_K(\rho)$, $\{\mathcal{O}^m_{\mathcal{Y}^{(i)}_{\rho,\tau}}, h^{\tau'}_w\}$ descends to $\mathrm{sp}_K(\tau)$ and $\{\mathcal{O}^{nm}_{\mathcal{Y}^{(i)}_{\rho\otimes\tau}}, h^{\rho'\otimes\tau'}_w\}$ descends to $\mathrm{sp}_K(\rho \otimes \tau)$. Thus, it follows that

$$\mathrm{sp}_K(\rho) \otimes \mathrm{sp}_K(\tau) \simeq \mathrm{sp}_K(\rho \otimes \tau).$$

All the properties of tensor functor can be easily checked in a similar way. □

Theorem 4.13. *For every finite extension L of K, the functor sp_K can be extended to a tensor functor*

$$sp_L \colon \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{cts}}_L(\pi_1^{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X_0, \xi)) \to \mathrm{Strat}(X_L),$$

where $X_L = X_K \times_K \mathrm{Spec}(L)$. Moreover, fixing $x \in X_{\overline{K}}(\overline{K})$, it induces a morphism of group schemes

$$sp \colon \pi^{\mathrm{strat}}(X_{\overline{K}}, x) \to (\pi_1^{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}(X_0, \xi))^{\mathrm{cts}}.$$

Proof. Let $(\overline{K}^n, \rho) \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\overline{K}}(\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0))$, then there exists a finite field extension $K \subset L$ and $(L^n, \rho_L) \in \mathrm{Rep}_L(\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0))$ such that

$$(L^n, \rho_L) \otimes_L \overline{K} = (\overline{K}^n, \rho).$$

We set A_L to be the integral closure of A in L, $S_L = \mathrm{Spec}(A_L)$ and $X_{S_L} = X \times_S S_L$. By definition, A_L is a complete discrete valuation ring, whose residue field is k and whose fraction field is L. By base change, X_{S_L} is a projective semi-stable curve with geometrically connected smooth generic fibre X_L and connected closed fibre X_0.

We can apply Proposition 4.12 to X_{S_L} and we can define a tensor functor sp_L that associates to (L^n, ρ_L) a stratified bundle on X_L. Let $\mathrm{bs}_L \colon X_{\overline{K}} \to X_L$ be the base change, then we get a tensor functor

$$\mathrm{sp}(\overline{K}^n, \rho) := \mathrm{bs}_L^*(\mathrm{sp}_L(L^n, \rho_L)) \in \mathrm{Strat}(X_{\overline{K}}).$$

Let x be the fixed point of $X_{\overline{K}}$, ω_x the associated fibre functor of $\mathrm{Strat}(X_{\overline{K}})$ and ω_π the fiber functor of $\mathrm{Rep}_{\overline{K}}^{\text{cts}}(\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0, \xi))$ given by the forgetful functor. Given a finite extension L of K and $\{\mathcal{F}_L^i, \sigma_i\} \in \mathrm{Strat}(X_L)$ of rank l, we define $\omega_x'(\{\mathcal{F}_i, \sigma_i\}) := \overline{K}^l$. Given a morphism $\{\alpha_i \colon \mathcal{F}_L^i \to \mathcal{G}_L^i\}$ of stratified bundles on X_L, we denote by $\mathcal{F}_i$ and $\mathcal{G}_i$ the meromorphic bundles on X_{S_L} corresponding to $\mathcal{F}_L^i$ and $\mathcal{G}_L^i$ via Theorem 3.15. Moreover, we set $\{U_i\}$ to be a covering of X_{S_L} on which $\mathcal{F}_i$ and $\mathcal{G}_i$ are trivialized and we fix two trivializations $s_0 : \mathcal{F}_0|_{U_{j_0}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{U_{j_0}}^n$, $t_0 : \mathcal{G}_0|_{U_{j_0}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{U_{j_0}}^m$ on an affine open U_{j_0} that contains the point $x \in X_{S_L}(\overline{K})$. Then we define $\omega_x'(\{\alpha_i\})$ to be the morphism that makes the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x^\star(\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes_A L) & \xrightarrow{x^\star s_0} & \overline{K}^n \\ {\scriptstyle x^\star\alpha_0}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \omega_x'(\{\alpha_i\})} \\ x^\star(\mathcal{G}_0 \otimes_A L) & \xrightarrow{x^\star t_0} & \overline{K}^m. \end{array}$$

We claim that $\omega_x' \circ \mathrm{sp} = \omega_\pi$. Let $(L^n, \rho_L) \in \mathrm{Rep}_L(\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0))$ for some finite extension L of K such that $(L^n, \rho_L) \otimes_L \overline{K} = (\overline{K}^n, \rho)$, then, by definition of ω_x', we can conclude that

$$\omega_x'(\mathrm{sp}(L^n, \rho_L)) = \overline{K}^n = \omega_\pi(\overline{K}^n, \rho).$$

Furthermore, given $\varphi_L \colon (L^n, \rho) \to (L^m, \tau)$ a morphism of representations, we denote by $\mathcal{F}_\rho^0$ and $\mathcal{G}_\tau^0$ the meromorphic bundles on X_{S_L} corresponding to the first term of $\mathrm{sp}_L(L^n, \rho_L)$ and $\mathrm{sp}_L(L^m, \tau_L)$ respectively. We set s_0 and t_0 to be the trivializations of $\mathcal{F}_\rho^0$ and $\mathcal{G}_\tau^0$ on U_{j_0} that were chosen to define $\omega_x'(\mathrm{sp}(\varphi))$. We notice that

$$\bigcup_{\{U_j \mid U_j \cap X_0 \neq \emptyset\}} U_j = X_{S_L},$$

because if it was a strict inclusion, then its complement in X_{S_L} would have non trivial intersection with X_0, which is a contradiction. Therefore, we can assume that $U_{j_0} \cap X_0 \neq \emptyset$ and we can define $\widehat{U_{j_0}}$ to be the formal completion of U_{j_0} along $U_{j_0} \cap X_0$. By [EGA III, Cor. 5.1.3], the pullback along $\widehat{U_{j_0}} \to U_{j_0}$ is a fully faithful

functor, hence the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}^0_\rho|_{U_{j_0}} \otimes_A L & \xrightarrow{s_{j_0}} & \mathcal{O}_{U_{j_0}} \otimes_A L^n \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{sp}_L(\varphi_L)|_{U_{j_0}}} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi_L} \\ \mathcal{G}^0_\tau|_{U_{j_0}} \otimes_A L & \xrightarrow{t_{j_0}} & \mathcal{O}_{U_{j_0}} \otimes_A L^m \end{array}$$

commutes on X_{S_L} if and only if the corresponding diagram on $\widehat{X_{S_L}}$. Furthermore, by fpqc descent, the latter commutes if and only if its pullback on $\mathcal{Y}_{\rho,\tau} \times \widehat{U_{j_0}}$ commutes, but this follows by construction because the corresponding diagram on $\mathcal{Y}_{\rho,\tau} \times \widehat{U_{j_0}}$ is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_{\rho,\tau}\times\widehat{U_{j_0}}} \otimes_A L & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}} & \mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_{\rho,\tau}\times\widehat{U_{j_0}}} \otimes_A L \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi_L} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi_L} \\ \mathcal{O}^m_{\mathcal{Y}_{\rho,\tau}\times\widehat{U_{j_0}}} \otimes_A L & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}} & \mathcal{O}^m_{\mathcal{Y}_{\rho,\tau}\times\widehat{U_{j_0}}} \otimes_A L\ . \end{array}$$

Thus, we can conclude that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x^\star(\mathcal{F}^0_\rho \otimes_A L) & \xrightarrow{x^\star s_0} & \overline{K}^n \\ {\scriptstyle x^\star \mathrm{sp}_L(\varphi_L)}\Big\downarrow & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_L \otimes_K \overline{K}} \\ x^\star(\mathcal{G}^0_\tau \otimes_A L) & \xrightarrow{x^\star t_0} & \overline{K}^m\ , \end{array}$$

which implies that

$$\omega'_x(\mathrm{sp}(\varphi)) = \varphi = \omega_\pi(\varphi).$$

Hence, by [DM82, Cor. 2.9], the functor sp corresponds to a morphism of group schemes

$$\mathrm{sp}\colon \pi(\mathrm{Strat}(X_{\overline{K}}), \omega'_x) \to \pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0)^{\mathrm{cts}}.$$

Moreover, ω_x and ω'_x are naturally isomorphic, so we have that

$$\pi(\mathrm{Strat}(X_{\overline{K}}), \omega'_x) \simeq \pi^{\mathrm{strat}}(X_{\overline{K}}, x)$$

and, composing with this isomorphism, we get a morphism of group schemes

$$\mathrm{sp}\colon \pi^{\mathrm{strat}}(X_{\overline{K}}) \to (\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0))^{\mathrm{cts}}.$$

□

5. Compatibility with the étale specialization map

Given $x \in X_{\overline{K}}(\overline{K})$, we denote by sp_{SGA1} the specialization map constructed by Groethendieck in [SGA1]

$$\mathrm{sp}_{SGA1}\colon \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, x) \to \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_0, \xi).$$

This specialization morphism induces a functor

$$\mathrm{sp}_{SGA1}\colon \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{cts}}_{\overline{K}}(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_0, \xi)) \to \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{cts}}_{\overline{K}}(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, x)).$$

Furthermore, by Proposition 1.7, the pro-finite completion induces a fully faithful functor

$$c\colon \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{cts}}_{\overline{K}}(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_0, \xi)) \to \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{cts}}_{\overline{K}}(\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0, \xi)).$$

Let $(\overline{K}^n, \rho) \in \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{cts}}_{\overline{K}}(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, x))$, by continuity and Lemma 2.3, ρ factors through a finite quotient π_ρ of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, x)$. In particular, there exists a finite Galois cover $W_{\overline{K}}$ of $X_{\overline{K}}$ such that

$$\mathrm{Aut}(W_{\overline{K}}|X_{\overline{K}}) = \pi_\rho^{\mathrm{op}}.$$

We can define descend data $\{h_g^\rho\}_{g\in\pi_\rho}$ for the sheaf $\mathcal{O}^n_{W_{\overline{K}}}$ on $W_{\overline{K}}$ as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}^n_{W_{\overline{K}}} & \xrightarrow{h_g^\rho} & \mathcal{O}^n_{W_{\overline{K}}} \\ (f_i) & \longrightarrow & \rho(g)(f_i)\ . \end{array}$$

Since $W_{\overline{K}} \to X_{\overline{K}}$ is a morphism of effective descent for coherent sheaves, $\{\mathcal{O}^n_{W_{\overline{K}}}, h_g^\rho\}$ descends to a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on $X_{\overline{K}}$ that, by construction, is locally free. As in the proof of Proposition 4.12, if we repeat the argument for the Frobenius twists of $X_{\overline{K}}$ we can define a functor

$$F\colon \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{cts}}_{\overline{K}}(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, x)) \to \mathrm{Strat}(X_{\overline{K}}).$$

Combining these functors with the specialization functor, we get the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{cts}}_{\overline{K}}(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_0, \xi)) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sp}_{SGA1}} & \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{cts}}_{\overline{K}}(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, x)) \\ \downarrow c & & \downarrow F \\ \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{cts}}_{\overline{K}}(\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0, \xi)) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sp}} & \mathrm{Strat}(X_{\overline{K}})\ . \end{array} \tag{5.1}$$

Lemma 5.2. *The diagram* (5.1) *is commutative.*

Proof. Let $(\overline{K}^n, \rho) \in \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{cts}}_{\overline{K}}(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_0, \xi))$, then there exists a finite field extension L of K and $(L^n, \rho_L) \in \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{cts}}_{L}(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_0, \xi))$ such that

$$(\overline{K}^n, \rho) = (L^n, \rho_L) \otimes_K \overline{K}.$$

For simplicity we call ρ also the representation with coefficients in L.

By continuity and Lemma 2.3, the morphism ρ factors through a finite quotient G_ρ of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_0, \xi)$. Hence, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0, \xi) & \xrightarrow{c} & \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_0, \xi) \\ \downarrow p & \swarrow & \downarrow \rho \\ G_\rho & \xrightarrow{\bar\rho} & \mathrm{GL}_n(L)\ . \end{array}$$

Moreover, the morphism p factors through the quotient $\mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star H_1 \star \cdots \star H_N$, where, if j is the natural morphism $j\colon \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_j}) \to \pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X_0, \xi)$ and $p_j = p \circ j$,

$$H_j = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_j})/p_j^{-1}(\mathrm{Id}).$$

We recall that to define $\mathrm{sp}(\overline{K}, \rho \circ c)$, we have set

$$G_j = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\overline{C_j})/(\rho \circ c \circ j)^{-1}(\mathrm{Id}).$$

Since $\rho \circ c \circ j = \bar{\rho} \circ p \circ j$ and $\bar{\rho}$ is injective, we have that $H_j = G_j$. Thus, there exists a $\pi_1^{\text{pro\'et}}(X_0, \xi)$-equivariant morphism

$$q \colon \mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star H_1 \star \cdots \star H_N \to G_\rho$$

that, together with the quotient map $\pi_1^{\text{pro\'et}}(X_0, \xi) \to \mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star H_1 \star \cdots \star H_N$ completes the above commutative diagram.

Let X_{S_L} be defined as Theorem 4.13 and let $\widehat{X}_{S_L}$ be the completion of X_{S_L} along X_0, then the set $\mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star G_1 \star \cdots \star G_N$ corresponds to $\mathcal{Y}_\rho$, while G_ρ correspond to a geometric coverings of $\widehat{X}_{S_L}$ that we call $\mathcal{W}$. Moreover, q corresponds to a $\widehat{X}_{S_L}$-morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{W} & \\ {\scriptstyle q}\nearrow & & \searrow{\scriptstyle p_{\mathcal{W}}} \\ \mathcal{Y}_\rho & \xrightarrow{\quad p_{\mathcal{Y}} \quad} & \widehat{X}_{S_L}. \end{array}$$

By construction, $\mathrm{sp}(L^n, \rho \circ c)$ corresponds to a sequence $\{\mathcal{F}_\rho^i\}$ of meromorphic bundles on $\widehat{X}_{S_L}$ such that

$$p_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho}^\star \{\mathcal{F}_\rho^i\} \simeq \{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i)}}, h_w^{\bar{\rho} \circ q, i}\}_{w \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star G_1 \star \cdots \star G_N)^{\mathrm{op}}}.$$

If W is the finite étale covering of X_{S_L} corresponding to $\mathcal{W}$ and $W_{\overline{K}}$ is its geometric generic fibre, since W is normal, by [LM99, Lemma 4.11], we deduce that

$$\mathrm{Aut}(W_{\overline{K}} | X_{\overline{K}}) \simeq G_\rho^{\mathrm{op}}.$$

By definition of the functor F and Theorem 3.15, $F(\mathrm{sp}_{SGA1}(L^n, \rho))$ corresponds to a sequence of meromorphic bundles $\{\mathcal{G}_i^\rho\}$ on $\widehat{X}_{S_L}$ such that

$$p_{\mathcal{W}}^\star \mathcal{G}_i^\rho \simeq \{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{W}^{(i)}}, h_g^{\bar{\rho}, i}\}_{g \in G_\rho^{\mathrm{op}}}.$$

It is easy to see that $\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i)}}, h_w^{\bar{\rho} \circ q, i}\}$ descends to $\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{W}^{(i)}}, h_g^{\bar{\rho}, i}\}$ on $\mathcal{W}$. Indeed, we have $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{Y}_\rho | \mathcal{W}) = \ker(q)^{\mathrm{op}}$ and

$$\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i)}}, h_w^{\bar{\rho} \circ q, i}\}_{w \in \ker(q)^{\mathrm{op}}} = \{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i)}}, \mathrm{Id}\}_{w \in \ker(q)^{\mathrm{op}}},$$

which implies that $\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i)}}, h_w^{\rho, i}\}_{w \in \ker(q)^{\mathrm{op}}}$ descends to the trivial stratified bundle $\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{W}^{(i)}}\}$. Moreover, for every $w \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\star r} \star G_1 \star \cdots \star G_N)^{\mathrm{op}}$ such that $q(w) = g$, $h_w^{q \circ \bar{\rho}, i}$ corresponds to $h_g^{\bar{\rho}, i}$ via the identification

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i)}}, \mathrm{Id}\}, \{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{Y}_\rho^{(i)}}, \mathrm{Id}\}) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{W}^{(i)}}\}, \{\mathcal{O}^n_{\mathcal{W}^{(i)}}\}).$$

Hence, by construction of the functors F and sp, we find that

$$\mathrm{sp}(c(L^n, \rho)) = F(\mathrm{sp}_{SGA1}(L^n, \rho)).$$

□

Lemma 5.3. *The diagram* (5.1) *induces the following commutative diagram of group schemes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^{\mathrm{strat}}(X_{\overline{K}}, x) & \xrightarrow{sp} & \pi_1^{\text{pro\'et}}(X_0, \xi)^{\mathrm{cts}} \\ \downarrow F & & \downarrow c \\ \pi_1^{\text{\'et}}(X_{\overline{K}}, x)_{\overline{K}} & \xrightarrow{sp_{SGA1}} & \pi_1^{\text{\'et}}(X_0, \xi)_{\overline{K}}, \end{array} \tag{5.4}$$

where $\pi_1^{\acute{e}t}(X_{\overline{K}}, x)_{\overline{K}}$ *and* $\pi_1^{\acute{e}t}(X_0, \xi)_{\overline{K}}$ *are defined as in Lemma 2.5.*

Proof. Since the construction of the functor F is analogous to the construction of the specialization functor, following the same reasoning of Theorem 4.13, we can conclude that F induces a morphism between the corresponding group schemes. Then the commutativity of the above diagram of group schemes follows from the previous proposition. □

References

[AT08] A. Arhangelskii and M. Tkachenko, *Topological groups and related structures*, Atlantis studies in Mathematics 1, Atlantis Press, Paris (2008).

[BS15] B. Bhatt and P. Scholze, *The pro-étale topology for schemes*, Astérisque 369 (2015), pp. 99-201.

[DM82] P. Deligne and J. Milne, *Tannakian Categories*, Lectures Notes in Mathematics 900, Springer-Verlag (1982), pp. 101-228.

[EGA I] A. Grothendieck, *Eléments de géométrie algébrique I. Le langage des schémas*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. (1960), no. 4, pp. 5-229.

[EGA III] A. Grothendieck, *Eléments de géométrie algébrique III. Etude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents, Premiére partie*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. (1961), no. 11, pp. 5-167.

[Gie73] D. Gieseker, *Stable vector bundles and the frobenius morphism*, Ann. Sci. de l'È.N.S. 6.1 (1973), pp. 95-101.

[Gra48] M. I. Graev, *Free topological groups*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat. 12 (1948), pp. 279-324.

[Liu02] Q. Liu, *Algebraic Geometry and Arithmetic Curves*, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Oxford University Press (2002).

[LM99] D. Lorenzini and J. Milne, *Models of curves and finite covers*, Compositio Mathematica 118 (1999), pp. 62-102.

[Mil12] J. Milne, *Basic Theory of Affine Group Schemes*, Available at http://www.jmilne.org/math/CourseNotes/AGS.pdf (2012).

[Mum72] D. Mumford, *An analytic construction of degenerating curves over complete local rings*, Compositio Mathematica 24.2 (1972), pp. 129-174.

[Ryd10] D. Rydh, *Submersions and effective descent of étale morphism*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 138 (2010), pp. 181-230.

[SGA1] A. Grothendieck, *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental*, Lecture notes in mathematics 224, Springer-Verlag (1971).

[SGA3] M. Artin, J.E. Bertin, M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck, P. Gabriel, M. Raynaud and J.P. Serre, *Schémas en groupes*, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique, Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, Paris (1963/1966).

[SR72] N. Saavedra Rivano, *Catégories Tannakiennes*, Lecture notes in mathematics, Springer-Verlag (1972).